arXiv:math-ph/0209040v1 21 Sep 2002

Single Scale Analysis of Many Fermion Systems

Part 1: Insulators

Joel Feldman*
Department of Mathematics
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, B.C.
CANADA V6T 1Z2
feldman@math.ubc.ca
http://www.math.ubc.ca/~feldman/

Horst Knörrer, Eugene Trubowitz
Mathematik
ETH-Zentrum
CH-8092 Zürich
SWITZERLAND
knoerrer@math.ethz.ch, trub@math.ethz.ch
http://www.math.ethz.ch/~knoerrer/

Abstract. We construct, using fermionic functional integrals, thermodynamic Green's functions for a weakly coupled fermion gas whose Fermi energy lies in a gap. Estimates on the Green's functions are obtained that are characteristic of the size of the gap. This prepares the way for the analysis of single scale renormalization group maps for a system of fermions at temperature zero without a gap.

---

* Research supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, ETH Zürich

Table of Contents

§I Introduction p 1

§II Norms p 4

§III Covariances and the Renormalization Group Map p 11

§IV Bounds for Covariances p 15
  Integral Bounds p 15
  Contraction Bounds p 19

§V Insulators p 28

Appendices

§A Calculations in the Norm Domain p 34

References p 39

Notation p 40

I. Introduction

The standard model for a gas of weakly interacting electrons in a d-dimensional crystal is given in terms of

- a single particle dispersion relation (shifted by the chemical potential) $e(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$,
- an ultraviolet cutoff $U(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$,
- the interaction v.

Here $\mathbf{k}$ is the momentum variable dual to the position variable $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The Fermi surface associated to the dispersion relation $e(\mathbf{k})$ is by definition

$$F = \left\{ \ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d \ \middle| \ e(\mathbf{k}) = 0 \ \right\}$$

The ultraviolet cutoff is a smooth function with compact support that fulfills $0 \le U(\mathbf{k}) \le 1$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that it is identically one on a neighbourhood of the Fermi surface$^{(1)}$. The function $v(\mathbf{x})$ is rapidly decaying; it gives a spin independent translation invariant interaction $v(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)$ between the fermions.

This situation can be described in field theoretic terms as follows: There are anticommuting fields $\psi_\sigma(x_0, \mathbf{x})$, $\bar\psi_\sigma(x_0, \mathbf{x})$, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the temperature (or Euclidean time) argument and $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ is the spin argument. For $x = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma)$ we write $\psi(x) = \psi_\sigma(x_0, \mathbf{x})$ and $\bar\psi(x) = \bar\psi_\sigma(x_0, \mathbf{x})$. The covariance of the Grassmann Gaussian measure, $d\mu_C$, for these fields has Fourier transform

$$C(k_0, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

Precisely, for $x = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma),\ x' = (x'_0, \mathbf{x}', \sigma') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$

$$C(x, x') = \int \psi(x) \bar\psi(x') d\mu_C(\psi, \bar\psi) = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} e^{\imath < k, x - x' >_-} C(k)$$

where $< k, x - x' >_- = -k_0(x_0 - x'_0) + \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$ for $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. The interaction between the fermions is described by an effective potential

$$\mathcal{V}(\psi, \bar\psi) = \int_{(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\uparrow,\downarrow\})^4} V_0(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)\, \bar\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) \bar\psi(x_3) \psi(x_4)\ dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4$$

with the interaction kernel

$$V_0\big((x_{1,0}, \mathbf{x}_1, \sigma_1), \cdots, (x_{4,0}, \mathbf{x}_4, \sigma_4)\big) = -\tfrac{1}{2} \delta(x_1, x_2) \delta(x_3, x_4) \delta(x_{1,0} - x_{3,0}) v(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_3)$$

---

(1) In particular, we assume that F is compact.

where $\delta\big((x_0,\mathbf{x},\sigma),(x_0',\mathbf{x}',\sigma')\big) = \delta(x_0-x_0')\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}$. More generally we will discuss translation invariant and spin independent interaction kernels $V_0(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)$.

Formally, the generating functional for the connected amputated$^{(2)}$ Green's functions is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rm amp}(\phi,\bar\phi) = \log \tfrac{1}{Z}\int e^{\mathcal{V}(\psi+\phi,\bar\psi+\bar\phi)}\, d\mu_C(\psi,\bar\psi)$$

where $Z = \int e^{\mathcal{V}(\psi,\bar\psi)} d\mu_C(\psi,\bar\psi)$. The connected amputated Green's functions themselves are determined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rm amp}(\phi,\bar\phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{(n!)^2}\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} dx_i dy_i\ G_{2n}^{\rm amp}(x_1,y_1,\cdots,x_n,y_n)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\bar\phi(x_i)\phi(y_i)$$

The fields $\phi,\bar\phi$ are called source fields, the fields $\psi,\bar\psi$ internal fields.

In a renormalization group analysis, the covariance C is written as a sum

$$C = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C^{(j)}$$

where $C^{(j)}(k)$ is supported on the set $k=(k_0,\mathbf{k})\in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$ for which $|C(k)|$ is approximately M^j. Here $M>1$ is a scale parameter. To successively integrate out scales $j=0,1,\cdots$, we use the renormalization group map analyzed in [FKTr1]. For any covariance S we set

$$\Omega_S(\mathcal{W})(\phi,\bar\phi,\psi,\bar\psi) = \log\tfrac{1}{Z}\int e^{\mathcal{W}(\phi,\bar\phi,\psi+\zeta,\bar\psi+\bar\zeta)}\, d\mu_S(\zeta,\bar\zeta)$$

Here, $\mathcal{W}$ is a Grassmann function and the partition function is $Z=\int e^{\mathcal{W}(0,0,\zeta,\bar\zeta)}\,d\mu_S(\zeta,\bar\zeta)$. Ω_S maps Grassmann functions in the variables $\phi,\bar\phi,\psi,\bar\psi$ to Grassmann functions in the same variables. Clearly

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rm amp}(\phi,\bar\phi) = \Omega_C(\mathcal{V})(0,0,\phi,\bar\phi) \tag{I.1}$$

where we view $\mathcal{V}(\psi,\bar\psi)$ as a function of the four variables $\phi,\bar\phi,\psi,\bar\psi$ that happens to be independent of $\phi,\bar\phi$. Also, Ω obeys the semigroup property

$$\Omega_{S_1+S_2} = \Omega_{S_1}\circ\Omega_{S_2}$$

Even for a cutoff covariance S, it is not a priori clear that $\Omega_S(\mathcal{W})$ makes sense for a reasonable set of $\mathcal{W}$'s. On the other hand, it is easy to see, using graphs, that each term in the formal Taylor expansion of $\Omega_S(\mathcal{W})$ in powers of $\mathcal{W}$ is well–defined for a large class of $\mathcal{W}$'s and cutoff

---

(2) with respect to the bare propagator $C(x,y)$

S's. The Taylor expansion of $\int e^{\mathcal{W}(\phi,\bar\phi,\psi+\zeta,\bar\psi+\bar\zeta)}\,d\mu_S(\zeta,\bar\zeta)$ is $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{G}_n(\mathcal{W},\cdots,\mathcal{W})$ where the $n^{\rm th}$ term is the multilinear form

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\mathcal{W}_1,\cdots,\mathcal{W}_n)=\tfrac{1}{n!}\int \mathcal{W}_1(\phi,\bar\phi,\psi+\zeta,\bar\psi+\bar\zeta)\cdots\mathcal{W}_n(\phi,\bar\phi,\psi+\zeta,\bar\psi+\bar\zeta)\ d\mu_S(\zeta,\bar\zeta)$$

restricted to the diagonal. Explicit evaluation of the Grassmann integral expresses $\mathcal{G}_n$ as the sum of all graphs with vertices $\mathcal{W}_1,\ \cdots,\ \mathcal{W}_n$ and lines S. The (formal) Taylor coefficient $\frac{d}{dt_1}\cdots\frac{d}{dt_n}\Omega_S(t_1\mathcal{W}_1+\cdots+t_n\mathcal{W}_n)\Big|_{t_1=\cdots=t_n=0}$ of $\Omega_S(\mathcal{W})$ is similar, but with only connected graphs. We prove in [FKTr1] that, under hypotheses that will be satisfied here, the formal Taylor series for $\Omega_S(\mathcal{W})$ converges to an analytic$^{(3)}$ function of $\mathcal{W}$.

In part 3 of this paper, we analyze the maps $\Omega_{C^{(j)}}$ in great detail. These results are used in our proof [FKTf1,2,3] that the temperature zero renormalized perturbation expansions of a class of interacting many–fermion models in two space dimensions have nonzero radius of convergence. In this first part, we apply the general results of [FKTr1] to many fermion systems. In particular, we introduce concrete norms that fulfill the conditions of §II.4 of [FKTr1] and develop contraction and integral bounds for them. Then, we apply Theorem II.28 of [FKTr1] and (I.1) to models for which the dispersion relation is both infrared and ultraviolet finite (insulators). For these models, no scale decomposition is necessary.

In the second part of this paper, we introduce scales and apply the results of part 1 to integrate out the first few scales. It turns out that for higher scales the norms introduced in parts 1 and 2 are inadequate and, in particular, power count poorly. Using sectors, we introduce finer norms that, in dimension two, power count appropriately. For these sectorized norms, passing from one scale to the next is not completely trivial. This question is dealt with in part 4. Cumulative notation tables are provided at the end of each part of this paper.

---

(3) For an elementary discussion of analytic maps between Banach spaces see, for example, Appendix A of [PT].

II. Norms

Let A be the Grassmann algebra freely generated by the fields $\phi(y), \bar\phi(y)$ with $y \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$. The generating functional for the connected Greens functions is a Grassmann Gaussian integral in the Grassmann algebra with coefficients in A that is generated by the fields $\psi(x), \bar\psi(x)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$. We want to apply the results of [FKTr1] to this situation.

To simplify notation we define, for $\xi = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, a) = (x, a) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow, \downarrow\}\times\{0, 1\}$, the internal fields

$$\psi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \psi(x) & \text{if } a = 0 \\ \bar\psi(x) & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we define for an external variable $\eta = (y_0, \mathbf{y}, \tau, b) = (y, b) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow, \downarrow\}\times\{0, 1\}$, the source fields

$$\phi(\eta) = \begin{cases} \phi(y) & \text{if } b = 0 \\ \bar\phi(y) & \text{if } b = 1 \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \times \{0, 1\}$ is called the "base space" parameterizing the fields. The Grassmann algebra A is the direct sum of the vector spaces A_m generated by the products $\phi(\eta_1) \cdots \phi(\eta_m)$. Let V be the vector space generated by $\psi(\xi),\ \xi \in \mathcal{B}$. An antisymmetric function $C(\xi, \xi')$ on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ defines a covariance on V by $C\big(\psi(\xi), \psi(\xi')\big) = C(\xi, \xi')$. The Grassmann Gaussian integral with this covariance, $\int \cdot \, d\mu_C(\psi)$, is a linear functional on the Grassmann algebra $\bigwedge_A V$ with values in A.

We shall define norms on $\bigwedge_A V$ by specifying norms on the spaces of functions on $\mathcal{B}^m \times \mathcal{B}^n,\ m, n \ge 0$. The rudiments of such norms and simple examples are discussed in this section. In the next section we recall the results of [FKTr1] in the current concrete situation.

The norms we construct are $(d+1)$–dimensional seminorms in the sense of Definition II.15 of [FKTr1]. They measure the spatial decay of the functions, i.e. derivatives of their Fourier transforms.

Definition II.1 (Multiindices)

i) A multiindex is an element $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d$. The length of a multiindex $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \cdots \delta_d)$ is $|\delta| = \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_d$ and its factorial is $\delta! = \delta_0!\delta_1! \cdots \delta_d!$. For two multiindices δ, δ' we say that $\delta \le \delta'$ if $\delta_i \le \delta'_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, d$. The spatial part of the multiindex $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \cdots \delta_d)$ is $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta_1, \cdots \delta_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. It has length $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| = \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_d$.

ii) Let $\delta, \delta^{(1)}, \cdots, \delta^{(r)}$ be multiindices such that $\delta = \delta^{(1)} + \cdots + \delta^{(r)}$. Then by definition

$$\tbinom{\delta}{\delta^{(1)}, \cdots, \delta^{(r)}} = \tfrac{\delta!}{\delta^{(1)}! \cdots \delta^{(r)}!}$$

iii) For a multiindex δ and $x = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma)$, $x' = (x'_0, \mathbf{x}', \sigma') \in \mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d \times \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ set

$$(x - x')^\delta = (x_0 - x'_0)^{\delta_0} \, (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}'_1)^{\delta_1} \cdots (\mathbf{x}_d - \mathbf{x}'_d)^{\delta_d}$$

If $\xi = (x, a)$, $\xi' = (x', a') \in \mathcal{B}$ we define $(\xi - \xi')^\delta = (x - x')^\delta$.

iv) For a function $f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)$ on $\mathcal{B}^n$, a multiindex δ, and $1 \le i, j \le n$; $i \ne j$ set

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta_{i,j} f\,(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n) = (\xi_i - \xi_j)^\delta f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)$$

Lemma II.2 (Leibniz's rule) *Let $f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)$ be a function on $\mathcal{B}^n$ and $f'(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m)$ a function on $\mathcal{B}^m$. Set*

$$g(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{n+m-2}) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\eta\, f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta)\, f'(\eta, \xi_n \cdots, \xi_{n+m-2})$$

Let δ be a multiindex and $1 \le i \le n-1,\ n \le j \le n+m-2$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta_{i,j} g\,(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{n+m-2}) = \sum_{\delta' \le \delta} \tbinom{\delta}{\delta',\delta-\delta'} \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\eta\ \mathcal{D}^{\delta'}_{i,n} f(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{n-1},\eta)\ \mathcal{D}^{\delta-\delta'}_{1,j-n+2} f'\,(\eta,\xi_n\cdots,\xi_{n+m-2})$$

Proof: For each $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$

$$(\xi_i - \xi_j)^\delta \ = \ \big((\xi_i - \eta) + (\eta - \xi_j)\big)^\delta = \sum_{\delta' \le \delta} \tbinom{\delta}{\delta',\delta-\delta'} (\xi_i - \eta)^{\delta'} (\eta - \xi_j)^{\delta - \delta'}$$

■

Definition II.3 (Decay operators) Let n be a positive integer. A decay operator $\mathcal{D}$ on the set of functions on $\mathcal{B}^n$ is an operator of the form

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{\delta^{(1)}}_{u_1,v_1} \cdots \mathcal{D}^{\delta^{(k)}}_{u_k,v_k}$$

with multiindices $\delta^{(1)}, \cdots, \delta^{(k)}$ and $1 \le u_j, v_j \le n,\ u_j \ne v_j$. The indices u_j, v_j are called variable indices. The total order of derivatives in $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$\delta(\mathcal{D}) = \delta^{(1)} + \cdots + \delta^{(k)}$$

In a similar way, we define the action of a decay operator on the set of functions on $\big(\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d\big)^n$ or on $\big(\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d \times \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}\big)^n$.

Definition II.4

i) On $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\} = \{\ x \in \mathbb{R}\ \big|\ x \geq 0\ \} \cup \{+\infty\}$, addition and the total ordering $\leq$ are defined in the standard way. With the convention that $0 \cdot \infty = \infty$, multiplication is also defined in the standard way.

ii) Let $d \geq -1$. For $d \geq 0$, the $(d+1)$–dimensional norm domain $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ is the set of all formal power series

$$X = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} X_\delta\ t_0^{\delta_0} t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_d^{\delta_d}$$

in the variables $t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_d$ with coefficients $X_\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. To shorten notation, we set $t^\delta = t_0^{\delta_0} t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_d^{\delta_d}$. Addition and partial ordering on $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ are defined componentwise. Multiplication is defined by

$$(X \cdot X')_\delta = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \delta} X_\beta X'_\gamma$$

The max and min of two elements of $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ are again defined componentwise.
The zero–dimensional norm domain $\mathfrak{N}_0$ is defined to be $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. We also identify $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ with the set of all $X \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ with $X_\delta = 0$ for all $\delta \neq \mathbf{0} = (0, \cdots, 0)$.
If $a > 0$, $X_{\mathbf{0}} \neq \infty$ and $a - X_{\mathbf{0}} > 0$ then $(a - X)^{-1}$ is defined as

$$(a - X)^{-1} = \tfrac{1}{a - X_{\mathbf{0}}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\tfrac{X - X_{\mathbf{0}}}{a - X_{\mathbf{0}}} \right)^n$$

For an element $X = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} X_\delta\ t^\delta$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ and $0 \leq j \leq d$ the formal derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} X$ is defined as

$$\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t_j} X = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} (\delta_j + 1) X_{\delta + \epsilon_j}\ t^\delta$$

where ϵ_j is the j^{th} unit vector.

Definition II.5 Let E be a complex vector space. A $(d+1)$–dimensional seminorm on E is a map $\|\cdot\| : E \to \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ such that

$$\|e_1 + e_2\| \leq \|e_1\| + \|e_2\| \qquad , \qquad \|\lambda\, e\| = |\lambda|\, \|e\|$$

for all $e, e_1, e_2 \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example II.6 For a function f on $\mathcal{B}^m \times \mathcal{B}^n$ we define the (scalar valued) L_1–L_∞–norm as

$$|||f|||_{1,\infty} = \begin{cases} \max\limits_{1 \leq j_0 \leq n} \sup\limits_{\xi_{j_0} \in \mathcal{B}} \int \prod\limits_{\substack{j=1,\cdots,n \\ j \neq j_0}} d\xi_j\, |f(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)| & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \sup\limits_{\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_m \in \mathcal{B}} \int \prod\limits_{j=1,\cdots,n} d\xi_j\ |f(\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_m;\ \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n)| & \text{if } m \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and the $(d+1)$–dimensional L_1–L_∞ seminorm

$$\|f\|_{1,\infty} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{1}{\delta!}\Big(\max_{\substack{\mathcal{D}\text{ decay operator}\\ \text{with }\delta(\mathcal{D})=\delta}} |||\mathcal{D}f|||_{1,\infty}\Big)\, t_0^{\delta_0} t_1^{\delta_1}\cdots t_d^{\delta_d} & \text{if } m=0 \\ |||f|||_{1,\infty} & \text{if } m\neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Here $|||f|||_{1,\infty}$ stands for the formal power series with constant coefficient $|||f|||_{1,\infty}$ and all other coefficients zero.

Lemma II.7 *Let f be a function on $\mathcal{B}^m\times\mathcal{B}^n$ and f' a function on $\mathcal{B}^{m'}\times\mathcal{B}^{n'}$. Let $1\le\mu\le n$, $1\le\nu\le n'$. Define the function g on $\mathcal{B}^{m+m'}\times\mathcal{B}^{n+n'-2}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_{m+m'};\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{\mu-1},\xi_{\mu+1},\cdots,\xi_n,\xi_{n+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+\nu-1},\xi_{n+\nu+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+n'}) \\ &\quad = \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\zeta\, f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{\mu-1},\zeta,\xi_{\mu+1},\cdots,\xi_n)\, f'(\eta_{m+1},\cdots,\eta_{m+m'};\xi_{n+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+\nu-1},\zeta,\xi_{n+\nu+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+n'}) \end{aligned}$$

If $m=0$ or $m'=0$

$$\begin{aligned} |||g|||_{1,\infty} &\le |||f|||_{1,\infty}\ |||f'|||_{1,\infty} \\ \|g\|_{1,\infty} &\le \|f\|_{1,\infty}\ \|f'\|_{1,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We first consider the norm $|||\cdot|||_{1,\infty}$. In the case $m\neq 0, m'=0$, for all $\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m\in\mathcal{B}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq\mu,n+\nu}}^{n+n'} d\xi_j\ g(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{\mu-1},\xi_{\mu+1},\cdots,\xi_n,\xi_{n+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+\nu-1},\xi_{n+\nu+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+n'})\Big| \\ &\qquad\le \Big|\int d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n\ f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n)\Big|\ \sup_{\zeta\in\mathcal{B}}\Big|\int\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq\nu}}^{n'} d\xi'_j\ f'(\xi'_1,\cdots,\xi'_{\nu-1},\zeta,\xi'_{\nu+1},\cdots,\xi'_{n'})\Big| \\ &\qquad\le |||f|||_{1,\infty}\ |||f'|||_{1,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

The case $m=0,\ m'\neq 0$ is similar. In the case $m=m'=0$ first fix $j_0\in\{1,\cdots,n\}\setminus\{\mu\}$, and fix $\xi_{j_0}\in\mathcal{B}$. As in the case $m\neq 0, m'=0$ one shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\int \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq j_0,\mu,n+\nu}}^{n+n'} d\xi_j\ g(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{\mu-1},\xi_{\mu+1},\cdots,\xi_n,\xi_{n+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+\nu-1},\xi_{n+\nu+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+n'})\Big| \\ &\qquad\le \Big|\int\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq j_0}}^{n} d\xi_j\ f(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n)\Big|\ \sup_{\zeta\in\mathcal{B}}\Big|\int\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq\nu}}^{n'} d\xi'_j\ f'(\xi'_1,\cdots,\xi'_{\nu-1},\zeta,\xi'_{\nu+1},\cdots,\xi'_{n'})\Big| \\ &\qquad\le |||f|||_{1,\infty}\ |||f'|||_{1,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

If one fixes one of the variables ξ_{j_0} with $j_0\in\{n+1,\cdots n+n'\}\setminus\{n+\nu\}$, the argument is similar.

We now consider the norm $\| \,\cdot\, \|_{1,\infty}$. If $m \neq 0$ or $m' \neq 0$ this follows from the first part of this Lemma and

$$\|g\|_{1,\infty} = |\!|\!|g|\!|\!|_{1,\infty} \le |\!|\!|f|\!|\!|_{1,\infty} \, |\!|\!|f'|\!|\!|_{1,\infty} \le \|f\|_{1,\infty} \, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}$$

So assume that $m = m' = 0$. Set

$$\|f\|_{1,\infty} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!} \, X_\delta \, t^\delta \qquad \|f'\|_{1,\infty} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!} \, X'_\delta \, t^\delta$$
$$\|g\|_{1,\infty} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!} \, Y_\delta \, t^\delta$$

with $X_\delta, X'_\delta, Y_\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a decay operator of degree δ acting on g. The variable indices for g lie in the set $I \cup I'$, where

$$I = \{1, \cdots, \mu - 1,\, \mu + 1, \cdots, n\}$$
$$I' = \{n + 1, \cdots, n + \nu - 1,\, n + \nu + 1, \cdots, n + n'\}$$

We can factor the decay operator $\mathcal{D}$ in the form

$$\mathcal{D} = \pm \, \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \, \mathcal{D}_2 \, \mathcal{D}_1$$

where all variable indices of $\mathcal{D}_1$ lie in I, all variable indices of $\mathcal{D}_2$ lie in I', and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D}^{\delta^{(1)}}_{u_1, v_1} \cdots \mathcal{D}^{\delta^{(k)}}_{u_k, v_k}$$

with $u_1, \cdots, u_k \in I$, $v_1, \cdots, v_k \in I'$. Set $h = \mathcal{D}_1 f$ and $h' = \mathcal{D}_2 f'$. By Leibniz's rule

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}\, g &= \pm \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\zeta \, h(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{\mu-1}, \zeta, \xi_{\mu+1}, \cdots, \xi_n) \, h'(\xi_{n+1}, \cdots, \xi_{n+\nu-1}, \zeta, \xi_{n+\nu+1}, \cdots, \xi_{n+n'}) \\
&= \pm \sum_{\substack{\alpha^{(i)} + \beta^{(i)} = \delta^{(i)} \\ \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, k}} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tbinom{\delta^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(i)}, \beta^{(i)}} \Big) \int d\zeta \, \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha^{(i)}}_{u_i, \mu} h \Big)(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{\mu-1}, \zeta, \xi_{\mu+1}, \cdots, \xi_n) \\
&\qquad\qquad \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{D}^{\beta^{(i)}}_{\nu, v_i} h' \Big)(\xi_{n+1}, \cdots, \xi_{n+\nu-1}, \zeta, \xi_{n+\nu+1}, \cdots, \xi_{n+n'})
\end{aligned}$$

By the first part of this Lemma, the L_1–L_∞–norm of each integral on the right hand side is bounded by

$$\Big|\!\Big|\!\Big| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha^{(i)}}_{u_i, \mu} h \Big|\!\Big|\!\Big|_{1,\infty} \, \Big|\!\Big|\!\Big| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{D}^{\beta^{(i)}}_{\nu, v_i} h' \Big|\!\Big|\!\Big|_{1,\infty}$$

Therefore, setting $\tilde{\delta} = \delta(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}) = \delta^{(1)} + \cdots + \delta^{(k)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \! | \mathcal{D}\, g \| \! |_{1,\infty} t^{\delta} \le \sum_{\substack{\alpha^{(i)}+\beta^{(i)}=\delta^{(i)} \\ \text{for } i=1,\cdots,k}} & \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tbinom{\delta^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(i)},\beta^{(i)}} \Big) t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)}\, t^{\alpha^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha^{(k)}} \Big\| \! \Big| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha^{(i)}}_{u_i,\mu} (\mathcal{D}_1 f) \Big\| \! \Big|_{1,\infty} \\
& \qquad t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)}\, t^{\beta^{(1)}+\cdots+\beta^{(k)}} \Big\| \! \Big| \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{D}^{\beta^{(i)}}_{\nu,v_i} (\mathcal{D}_2 f') \Big\| \! \Big|_{1,\infty} \\
\le \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\tilde{\delta}} \ \sum_{\substack{\alpha^{(i)}+\beta^{(i)}=\delta^{(i)} \\ \alpha^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha^{(k)}=\alpha \\ \beta^{(1)}+\cdots+\beta^{(k)}=\beta}} & \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tbinom{\delta^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(i)},\beta^{(i)}} \Big) \ X_{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha}\, t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha} \ \ X'_{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta}\, t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta} \\
= \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\tilde{\delta}} & \tbinom{\tilde{\delta}}{\alpha\,,\,\beta} \ X_{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha}\, t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha} \ \ X'_{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta}\, t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta} \\
\le \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\tilde{\delta}} & \tbinom{\delta}{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha\,,\ \delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta} \ X_{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha}\, t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_1)+\alpha} \ \ X'_{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta}\, t^{\delta(\mathcal{D}_2)+\beta} \qquad \text{(II.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

In the equality, we used the fact that for each pair of multiindices α, β with $\alpha + \beta = \tilde{\delta}$ and each k–tuple of multiindices $\delta^{(i)},\ 1 \le i \le k$, with $\sum_i \delta^{(i)} = \tilde{\delta}$

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha^{(i)}+\beta^{(i)}=\delta^{(i)} \\ \alpha^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha^{(k)}=\alpha \\ \beta^{(1)}+\cdots+\beta^{(k)}=\beta}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \tbinom{\delta^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(i)},\beta^{(i)}} = \tbinom{\tilde{\delta}}{\alpha\,,\,\beta}$$

This standard combinatorial identity follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\tilde{\delta}} \tbinom{\tilde{\delta}}{\alpha\,,\,\beta}\, x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} = (x+y)^{\tilde{\delta}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x+y)^{\delta^{(i)}} &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\sum_{\alpha^{(i)}+\beta^{(i)}=\delta^{(i)}} \tbinom{\delta^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(i)},\beta^{(i)}}\, x^{\alpha^{(i)}} y^{\beta^{(i)}} \Big) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\alpha^{(i)}+\beta^{(i)}=\delta^{(i)} \\ i=1,\cdots,k}} \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tbinom{\delta^{(i)}}{\alpha^{(i)},\beta^{(i)}} \Big]\, x^{\alpha^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha^{(k)}}\, y^{\beta^{(1)}+\cdots+\beta^{(k)}}
\end{aligned}$$

by matching the coefficients of $x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$.

It follows from (II.1) that

$$\tfrac{1}{\delta!}\, Y_{\delta}\, t^{\delta} \le \sum_{\alpha'+\beta'=\delta} \tfrac{1}{\alpha'!}\, X_{\alpha'}\, t^{\alpha'} \ \ \tfrac{1}{\beta'!}\, X'_{\beta'}\, t^{\beta'}$$

and

$$\|g\|_{1,\infty} \le \sum_{\delta} \sum_{\alpha'+\beta'=\delta} \tfrac{1}{\alpha'!}\, X_{\alpha'}\, t^{\alpha'} \ \ \tfrac{1}{\beta'!}\, X'_{\beta'}\, t^{\beta'} \ = \ \|f\|_{1,\infty}\, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}$$

$\blacksquare$

Corollary II.8 *Let f be a function on $\mathcal{B}^n$, f' a function on $\mathcal{B}^{n'}$ and C_2, C_3 functions on $\mathcal{B}^2$. Set*

$$h(\xi_4,\cdots,\xi_n,\xi'_4,\cdots,\xi'_{n'}) = \int d\zeta\, d\xi_2 d\xi'_2\, d\xi_3 d\xi'_3\, f(\zeta,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\cdots\xi_n)\; C_2(\xi_2,\xi'_2)\, C_3(\xi_3,\xi'_3)\; f'(\zeta,\xi'_2,\xi'_3,\xi'_4,\cdots\xi'_{n'})$$

Then

$$\|h\|_{1,\infty} \le \sup_{\xi,\xi'} |C_2(\xi,\xi')| \; \sup_{\eta,\eta'} |C_3(\eta,\eta')| \; \|f\|_{1,\infty} \; \|f'\|_{1,\infty}$$

Proof: Set

$$g(\xi_2,\cdots,\xi_n,\xi'_2,\cdots,\xi'_{n'}) = \int d\zeta\, f(\zeta,\xi_2,\xi_3,\xi_4,\cdots\xi_n)\, f'(\zeta,\xi'_2,\xi'_3,\xi'_4,\cdots\xi'_{n'})$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a decay operator acting on h. Then

$$\mathcal{D}h = \int d\xi_2 d\xi'_2\, d\xi_3 d\xi'_3\, C_2(\xi_2,\xi'_2)\, C_3(\xi_3,\xi'_3) \mathcal{D}g(\xi_2,\cdots,\xi_n,\xi'_2,\cdots,\xi'_{n'})$$

Consequently

$$|||\mathcal{D}h|||_{1,\infty} \le \sup |C_2| \, \sup |C_3| \; |||\mathcal{D}g|||_{1,\infty}$$

and therefore

$$\|h\|_{1,\infty} \le \sup |C_2| \, \sup |C_3| \; \|g\|_{1,\infty}$$

The Corollary now follows from Lemma II.7. ■

Definition II.9 Let $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ be the space of all functions $f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots\xi_n)$ on $\mathcal{B}^m \times \mathcal{B}^n$ that are antisymmetric in the η variables. If $f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots\xi_n)$ is any function on $\mathcal{B}^m \times \mathcal{B}^n$, its antisymmetrization in the external variables is

$$\mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}} f\,(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots\xi_n) = \tfrac{1}{m!} \sum_{\pi \in S_m} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\, f(\eta_{\pi(1)},\cdots,\eta_{\pi(m)};\xi_1,\cdots\xi_n)$$

For $m, n \ge 0$, the symmetric group S_n acts on $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ from the right by

$$f^\pi(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\,\xi_1,\cdots\xi_n) = f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\,\xi_{\pi(1)},\cdots\xi_{\pi(n)}) \qquad \text{for } \pi \in S_n$$

Definition II.10 A seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ is called symmetric, if for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$ and $\pi \in S_n$

$$\|f^\pi\| = \|f\|$$

and $\|f\| = 0$ if $m = n = 0$.

For example, the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}$ of Example II.6 are symmetric.

III. Covariances and the Renormalization Group Map

Definition III.1 (Contraction) Let $C(\xi,\xi')$ be any skew symmetric function on $\mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}$. Let $m,n\ge 0$ and $1\le i<j\le n$. For $f\in\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ the contraction $\underset{i\to j}{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}\, f\in\mathcal{F}_m(n-2)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\underset{i\to j}{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}\, f\,&(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{i-1},\xi_{i+1},\cdots,\xi_{j-1},\xi_{j+1},\cdots,\xi_n)\\ &= (-1)^{j-i+1}\int d\zeta\,d\zeta'\ C(\zeta,\zeta')\,f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{i-1},\zeta,\xi_{i+1},\cdots,\xi_{j-1},\zeta',\xi_{j+1},\cdots,\xi_n)\end{aligned}$$

Definition III.2 (Contraction Bound) Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a family of symmetric seminorms on the spaces $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$. We say that $\mathfrak{c}\in\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ is a contraction bound for the covariance C with respect to this family of seminorms, if for all $m,n,m',n'\ge 0$ there exist i and j with $1\le i\le n,\ 1\le j\le n'$ such that

$$\Big\|\underset{i\to n+j}{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}\big(\mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f')\big)\Big\|\le\mathfrak{c}\,\|f\|\,\|f'\|$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{F}_m(n),\ f'\in\mathcal{F}_{m'}(n')$. Observe that $f\otimes f'$ is a function on $(\mathcal{B}^m\times\mathcal{B}^n)\times(\mathcal{B}^{m'}\times\mathcal{B}^{n'})\cong\mathcal{B}^{m+m'}\times\mathcal{B}^{n+n'}$, so that $\mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f')\in\mathcal{F}_{m+m'}(n+n')$.

Remark III.3 If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a contraction bound for the covariance C with respect to a family of symmetric seminorms, then, by symmetry,

$$\Big\|\underset{i\to n+j}{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}\big(\mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f')\big)\Big\|\le\mathfrak{c}\,\|f\|\,\|f'\|$$

for **all** $1\le i\le n,\ 1\le j\le n'$ and all $f\in\mathcal{F}_m(n),\ f'\in\mathcal{F}_{m'}(n')$.

Example III.4 The L_1–L_∞–norm introduced in Example II.6 has $\max\{\|C\|_{1,\infty},|||C|||_\infty\}$ as a contraction bound for covariance C. Here, $|||C|||_\infty$ is the element of $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ whose constant term is $\sup_{\xi,\xi'}|C(\xi,\xi')|$ and is the only nonzero term. This is easily proven by iterated application of Lemma II.7. See also Example II.26 in [FKTr1]. A more general statement will be formulated and proven in Lemma V.1.iii.

Definition III.5 (Integral Bound) Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a family of symmetric seminorms on the spaces $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$. We say that $\mathrm{b}\in\mathbb{R}_+$ is an integral bound for the covariance C with respect to this family of seminorms, if the following holds:

Let $m \ge 0$, $1 \le n' \le n$. For $f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$ define $f' \in \mathcal{F}_m(n-n')$ by

$$f'({\scriptstyle \eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\,\xi_{n'+1},\cdots,\xi_n}) = \iint_{\mathcal{B}^{n'}} {\scriptstyle d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_{n'}}\ f({\scriptstyle \eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{n'},\xi_{n'+1},\cdots,\xi_n})\,\psi({\scriptstyle \xi_1})\cdots\psi({\scriptstyle \xi_{n'}})\,d\mu_C(\psi)$$

Then

$$\|f'\| \le (\mathrm{b}/2)^{n'}\,\|f\|$$

Remark III.6 Suppose that there is a constant S such that

$$\Big|\int \psi(\xi_1)\cdots\psi(\xi_n)\,d\mu_C(\psi)\Big| \le S^n$$

for all $\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $2S$ is an integral bound for C with respect to the L_1–L_∞–norm introduced in Example II.6.

Definition III.7

i) We define $A_m[n]$ as the subspace of the Grassmann algebra $\bigwedge_A V$ that consists of all elements of the form

$$Gr(f) = \int {\scriptstyle d\eta_1\cdots d\eta_m\,d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_n}\ f({\scriptstyle \eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\,\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n})\ \phi(\eta_1)\cdots\phi(\eta_m)\,\psi(\xi_1)\cdots\psi(\xi_n)$$

with a function f on $\mathcal{B}^m \times \mathcal{B}^n$.

ii) Every element of $A_m[n]$ has a unique representation of the form $Gr(f)$ with a function $f({\scriptstyle \eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\,\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n}) \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$ that is antisymmetric in its ξ variables. Therefore a seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ defines a canonical seminorm on $A_m[n]$, which we denote by the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$.

Remark III.8 For $F \in A_m[n]$

$$\|F\| \le \|f\| \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n) \text{ with } Gr(f) = F$$

Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$. Then $f' = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\,f^\pi$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ that is antisymmetric in its ξ variables such that $Gr(f') = Gr(f)$. Therefore

$$\|Gr(f)\| \;=\; \|f'\| \le \tfrac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\|f^\pi\| \;=\; \tfrac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\|f\| \;=\; \|f\|$$

since the seminorm is symmetric. ∎

Definition III.9 Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a family of symmetric seminorms, and let $\mathcal{W}(\phi,\psi)$ be a Grassmann function. Write

$$\mathcal{W} = \sum_{m,n\ge 0} \mathcal{W}_{m,n}$$

with $\mathcal{W}_{m,n} \in A_m[n]$. For any constants $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$, $\mathrm{b} > 0$ and $\alpha \ge 1$ set

$$N(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha) = \tfrac{1}{\mathrm{b}^2}\,\mathfrak{c} \sum_{m,n\ge 0} \alpha^n\, \mathrm{b}^n\, \|\mathcal{W}_{m,n}\|$$

In practice, the quantities $\mathrm{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ will reflect the "power counting" of $\mathcal{W}$ with respect to the covariance C and the number α is proportional to an inverse power of the largest allowed modulus of the coupling constant.

In this paper, we will derive bounds on the renormalization group map for several kinds of seminorms. The main ingredients from [FKTr1] are

Theorem III.10 *Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a family of symmetric seminorms and let C be a covariance on V with contraction bound $\mathfrak{c}$ and integral bound b. Then the formal Taylor series $\Omega_C(:\!\mathcal{W}\!:)$ converges to an analytic map on $\big\{\, \mathcal{W} \;\big|\; \mathcal{W}$ even, $N\big(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},8\alpha\big)_{\mathbf{0}} < \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \,\big\}$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{W}(\phi,\psi)$ is an even Grassmann function such that*

$$N\big(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},8\alpha\big)_{\mathbf{0}} < \tfrac{\alpha^2}{4}$$

then

$$N\big(\Omega_C(:\!\mathcal{W}\!:) - \mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha\big) \;\le\; \tfrac{2}{\alpha^2}\, \tfrac{N(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},8\alpha)^2}{1-\frac{4}{\alpha^2}N(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},8\alpha)}$$

Here, $:\!\cdot\!:$ denotes Wick ordering with respect to the covariance C.

In §V we will use this Theorem to discuss the situation of an insulator. More generally we have

Theorem III.11 *Let, for κ in a neighbourhood of 0, $\mathcal{W}_\kappa(\phi,\psi)$ be an even Grassmann function and C_κ, D_κ be antisymmetric functions on $\mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}$. Assume that $\alpha \ge 1$ and*

$$N(\mathcal{W}_0;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},32\alpha)_{\mathbf{0}} < \alpha^2$$

and that

C_0 has contraction bound $\mathfrak{c}$ $\qquad$ $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{b}$ is an integral bound for C_0, D_0

$\frac{d}{d\kappa}C_\kappa\big|_{\kappa=0}$ has contraction bound $\mathfrak{c}'$ $\qquad$ $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{b}'$ is an integral bound for $\frac{d}{d\kappa}D_\kappa\big|_{\kappa=0}$

and that $\mathfrak{c} \le \frac{1}{\mu}\mathfrak{c}^2$*. Set*

$$:\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_\kappa(\phi,\psi):_{\psi,D_\kappa} \;=\; \Omega_{C_\kappa}(:\mathcal{W}_\kappa:_{\psi,C_\kappa+D_\kappa})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} N\big(\tfrac{d}{d\kappa}[\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_\kappa - \mathcal{W}_\kappa]_{\kappa=0}\,;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha\big) \le\ & \tfrac{1}{2\alpha^2}\,\tfrac{N(\mathcal{W}_0;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},32\alpha)}{1-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}N(\mathcal{W}_0;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},32\alpha)} N\big(\tfrac{d}{d\kappa}\mathcal{W}_\kappa\big|_{\kappa=0}\,;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},8\alpha\big) \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2\alpha^2}\,\tfrac{N(\mathcal{W}_0;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},32\alpha)^2}{1-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}N(\mathcal{W}_0;\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},32\alpha)}\Big\{\tfrac{1}{4\mu}\mathfrak{c}' + \big(\tfrac{\mathrm{b}'}{\mathrm{b}}\big)^2\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorems III.10 and III.11:

If $f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots\xi_n)$ is a function on $\mathcal{B}^m \times \mathcal{B}^n$ we define the corresponding element of $A_m \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ as

$$\mathrm{Tens}(f) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{m} d\eta_i \prod_{j=1}^{n} d\xi_j\ f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_n)\,\phi(\eta_1)\cdots\phi(\eta_m)\ \psi(\xi_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\psi(\xi_n)$$

Each element of $A_m \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ can be uniquely written in the form Tens(f) with a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$. Therefore a seminorm on $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ defines a seminorm on $A_m \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ and conversely. Under this correspondence, symmetric seminorms on $\mathcal{F}_m(n)$ in the sense of Definition II.10 correspond to symmetric seminorms on $A_m \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ in the sense of Definition II.18 of [FKTr1], contraction bounds as in Definition III.2 correspond, by Remark III.3, to contraction bounds as in Definition II.25.i of [FKTr1] and integral bounds as in Definition III.5 correspond to integral bounds as in Definition II.25.ii of [FKTr1]. Furthermore the norms on the spaces $A_m[n]$ defined in Definition III.7.ii agrees with those of Lemma II.22 of [FKTr1]. Therefore Theorem III.10 follows directly from Theorem II.28 of [FKTr1] and Theorem III.11 follows from Theorem IV.4 of [FKTr1]. ■

IV. Bounds for Covariances

Integral Bounds

Definition IV.1 For any covariance $C = C(\xi, \xi')$ we define

$$S(C) = \sup_m \sup_{\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_m \in \mathcal{B}} \Big(\Big| \int \psi(\xi_1)\cdots\psi(\xi_m)\, d\mu_C(\psi) \Big| \Big)^{1/m}$$

Remark IV.2

i) By Remark III.6, $2\,S(C)$ is an integral bound for C with respect to the L_1–L_∞-norms introduced in Example II.6.

ii) For any two covariances C, C'

$$S(C + C') \le S(C) + S(C')$$

Proof of (ii): For $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\int \psi(\xi_1)\cdots\psi(\xi_m)\, d\mu_{(C+C')}(\psi) = \int \big(\psi(\xi_1) + \psi'(\xi_1)\big)\cdots\big(\psi(\xi_m) + \psi'(\xi_m)\big)\, d\mu_C(\psi)\, d\mu_{C'}(\psi')$$

Multiplying out one sees that

$$\big(\psi(\xi_1) + \psi'(\xi_1)\big)\cdots\big(\psi(\xi_m) + \psi'(\xi_m)\big) = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I\subset\{1,\cdots,m\}\\ |I|=p}} \mathcal{M}(p, I)$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}(p, I) = \pm \prod_{i\in I} \psi(\xi_i) \prod_{j\notin I} \psi'(\xi_j)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Big| \int \psi(\xi_1)\cdots\psi(\xi_m)\, d\mu_{(C+C')}(\psi) \Big| &\le \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I\subset\{1,\cdots,m\}\\ |I|=p}} \Big| \iint \mathcal{M}(p, I)\, d\mu_C(\psi)\, d\mu_{C'}(\psi') \Big| \\ &\le \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{\substack{I\subset\{1,\cdots,m\}\\ |I|=p}} S(C)^p\, S(C')^{m-p} \\ &= \big(S(C) + S(C')\big)^m\end{aligned}$$

■

In this Section, we assume that there is a function $C(k)$ such that for $\xi = (x,a) = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, a)$, $\xi' = (x', a') = (x_0', \mathbf{x}', \sigma', a') \in \mathcal{B}$

$$C(\xi, \xi') = \begin{cases} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\, e^{\imath <k, x-x'>_-} C(k) & \text{if } a = 0, a' = 1 \\ -\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\, e^{\imath <k, x'-x>_-} C(k) & \text{if } a = 1, a' = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a = a' \end{cases} \tag{IV.1}$$

(as usual, the case $x_0 = x_0' = 0$ is defined through the limit $x_0 - x_0' \to 0-$) and derive bounds for $S(C)$ in terms of norms of $C(k)$.

Proposition IV.3 (Gram's estimate)

i)

$$S(C) \le \sqrt{\int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ |C(k)|}$$

ii) Let, for each s in a finite set Σ, $\chi_s(k)$ be a function on $\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$. Set, for $a \in \{0,1\}$,

$$\hat{\chi}_s(x - x', a) = \int e^{(-1)^a \imath <k, x-x'>_-}\ \chi_s(k)\, \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}$$

and

$$\psi_s(x,a) = \int d^{d+1}x'\ \hat{\chi}_s(x - x', a)\, \psi(x', a)$$

Then for all $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_m \in \mathcal{B}$ and all $s_1, \cdots, s_m \in \Sigma$

$$\Big| \int \psi_{s_1}(\xi_1) \cdots \psi_{s_m}(\xi_m)\, d\mu_C(\psi) \Big| \le \Big[\max_{s \in \Sigma} \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \big| C(k) \chi_s(k)^2 \big| \Big]^{m/2}$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. For $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ define the element $\omega(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\omega(\sigma) = \begin{cases} (1,0) & \text{if } \sigma = \uparrow \\ (0,1) & \text{if } \sigma = \downarrow \end{cases}$$

For each $\xi = (x,a) = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, a) \in \mathcal{B}$ define $w(\xi) \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$w(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\imath <k,x>_-}}{(2\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \sqrt{|C(k)|} \otimes \omega(\sigma) & \text{if } a = 0 \\ \frac{e^{-\imath <k,x>_-}}{(2\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \frac{C(k)}{\sqrt{|C(k)|}} \otimes \omega(\sigma) & \text{if } a = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|w(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ |C(k)| \qquad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{B}$$

and

$$C(\xi,\xi') = \langle w(\xi), w(\xi')\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

if $\xi = (x,\sigma,0), \xi' = (x',\sigma',1) \in \mathcal{B}$. Part (i) of the Proposition now follows from part (i) of Proposition B.1 in [FKTr1].

ii) For each $\xi = (x,a) = (x_0,\mathbf{x},\sigma,a) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $s \in \Sigma$ define $w'(\xi,s) \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$w'(\xi,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\imath<k,x>_-}}{(2\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \sqrt{|C(k)|}\ \overline{\chi_s(k)} \otimes \omega(\sigma) & \text{if } a=0 \\ \frac{e^{-\imath<k,x>_-}}{(2\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \frac{C(k)}{\sqrt{|C(k)|}}\, \chi_s(k) \otimes \omega(\sigma) & \text{if } a=1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|w'(\xi,s)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} = \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ |C(k)||\chi_s(k)|^2$$

and

$$\int \psi_s(\xi)\psi_{s'}(\xi')d\mu_C(\xi) = \langle w(\xi,s), w(\xi',s')\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

if $\xi = (x_0,\mathbf{x},\sigma,0), \xi' = (x'_0,\mathbf{x}',\sigma',1) \in \mathcal{B}$. Part (ii) of the Proposition now follows from part (i) of Proposition B.1 in [FKTr1], applied to the generating system of fields $\psi_s(\xi)$.

■

Lemma IV.4 *Let $\Lambda > 0$ and $U(\mathbf{k})$ a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that*

$$C(k) = \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - \Lambda}$$

Then

$$S(C) \le \sqrt{\int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\ |U(\mathbf{k})|}$$

Proof: For $a=0,\ a'=1$

$$\begin{aligned} C\big((x_0,\mathbf{x},\sigma,a),(x'_0,\mathbf{x}',\sigma',a')\big) &= \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \tfrac{dk_0}{2\pi}\, \tfrac{e^{-\imath k_0(x_0-x'_0)}}{\imath k_0-\Lambda} \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\, e^{\imath<\mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'>} U(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= -\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\, e^{\imath<\mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'>} U(\mathbf{k}) \begin{cases} e^{-\Lambda(x_0-x'_0)} & \text{if } x_0 > x'_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \le x'_0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. For $\sigma \in \{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$ define the element $\omega(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ as in the proof of Proposition IV.3, and for each $\xi = (x_0,\mathbf{x},\sigma,a) \in \mathcal{B}$ define $w(\xi) \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$w(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\imath<\mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}>}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sqrt{|U(\mathbf{k})|} \otimes \omega(\sigma) & \text{if } a=0 \\ -\frac{e^{-\imath<\mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}>}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{|U(\mathbf{k})|}} \otimes \omega(\sigma) & \text{if } a=1 \end{cases}$$

Again

$$\|w(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \tfrac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d\mathbf{k}\ |U(\mathbf{k})| \qquad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{B}$$

Furthermore set $\tau(x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, a) = \Lambda x_0$. Then for $\xi = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, 0), \xi' = (x_0', \mathbf{x}', \sigma', 1) \in \mathcal{B}$

$$C(\xi, \xi') = \begin{cases} e^{-(\tau(\xi)-\tau(\xi'))}\ \langle w(\xi), w(\xi')\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} & \text{if } \tau(\xi) > \tau(\xi') \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau(\xi) \le \tau(\xi') \end{cases}$$

The Lemma now follows from part(ii) of Proposition B.1 in [FKTr1]. ■

Proposition IV.5 *Assume that C is of the form*

$$C(k) = \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

with real valued measurable functions $U(\mathbf{k})$, $e(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\chi(k)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $0 \le \chi(k) \le U(\mathbf{k}) \le 1$ for all $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$S(C)^2 \le 9 \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\ U(\mathbf{k}) + \tfrac{3}{E} \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \chi(k) + 6 \int_{|k_0| \le E} \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})|}$$

where $E = \sup\limits_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{suppU}} |e(\mathbf{k})|$.

Proof: Write

$$C(k) = \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - E} - \tfrac{\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - E} + \tfrac{e(\mathbf{k})-E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)}(U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k))$$

By Remark IV.2, Lemma IV.4 and Proposition IV.3.i

$$\tfrac{1}{3} S(C)^2 \le \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\ |U(\mathbf{k})| + \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \left|\tfrac{\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - E}\right| + \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \left|\tfrac{e(\mathbf{k})-E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)}(U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k))\right|$$

The first two terms are bounded by

$$\int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\ U(\mathbf{k}) + \tfrac{1}{E} \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \chi(k)$$

The contribution to the third term having $|k_0| \le E$ is bounded by

$$\int_{|k_0| \le E} \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \left|\tfrac{e(\mathbf{k})-E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)}\big(U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)\big)\right| \le 2 \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})|}$$

The contribution to the third term having $|k_0| > E$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|k_0| > E} \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \left|\tfrac{e(\mathbf{k})-E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)}\big(U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)\big)\right| &\le 4 \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \tfrac{E}{|\imath k_0 - E|^2} U(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= 2 \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\ U(\mathbf{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tfrac{1}{3} S(C)^2 \le 3 \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\ U(\mathbf{k}) + \tfrac{1}{E} \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \chi(k) + 2 \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\ \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})|}$$

■

Contraction Bounds

We have observed in Example III.4 that the L_1–L_∞–norm introduced in Example II.6 has $\max\{\|C\|_{1,\infty}, |||C|||_\infty\}$ as a contraction bound for covariance C. For the propagators of the form (IV.1), we estimate these position space quantities by norms of derivatives of $C(k)$ in momentum space.

Definition IV.6

i) For a function $f(k)$ on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$ and a multiindex δ we set

$$\mathrm{D}^\delta f\,(k) = \tfrac{\partial^{\delta_0}}{\partial k_0^{\delta_0}}\,\tfrac{\partial^{\delta_1}}{\partial k_1^{\delta_1}}\cdots\tfrac{\partial^{\delta_d}}{\partial k_d^{\delta_d}}\,f\,(k)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(k)\|\check{}_{\infty} &= \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!}\Big(\sup_k \big|\mathrm{D}^\delta f(k)\big|\Big)\,t^\delta &&\in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}\\ \|f(k)\|\check{}_{1} &= \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!}\Big(\int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\,\big|\mathrm{D}^\delta f(k)\big|\Big)\,t^\delta &&\in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}\end{aligned}$$

If B is a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(k)\|\check{}_{\infty,B} &= \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!}\Big(\sup_{k\in B} \big|\mathrm{D}^\delta f(k)\big|\Big)\,t^\delta &&\in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}\\ \|f(k)\|\check{}_{1,B} &= \sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0^d} \tfrac{1}{\delta!}\Big(\int_B \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\,\big|\mathrm{D}^\delta f(k)\big|\Big)\,t^\delta &&\in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}\end{aligned}$$

ii) For $\mu > 0$ and $X \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$

$$T_\mu X = \tfrac{1}{\mu^{d+1}}X + \tfrac{\mu}{d+1}\sum_{j=0}^{d}\big(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t_0}\cdots\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t_d}\big)\tfrac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\;X$$

Remark IV.7 For functions $f(k)$ and $g(k)$ on $B \subset \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|f(k)\,g(k)\|\check{}_{1,B} \le \|f(k)\|\check{}_{1,B}\,\|g(k)\|\check{}_{\infty,B}$$

by Leibniz's rule for derivatives. The proof is similar to that of Lemma II.7.

Proposition IV.8 *Let $d \ge 1$. Assume that there is a function $C(k)$ such that for $\xi = (x, a) = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, a)$, $\xi' = (x', a') = (x_0', \mathbf{x}', \sigma', a') \in \mathcal{B}$*

$$C(\xi, \xi') = \begin{cases} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\, e^{\imath <k, x-x'>_-} C(k) & \textit{if } a = 0,\ a' = 1 \\ 0 & \textit{if } a = a' \\ -C\big(\xi', \xi\big) & \textit{if } a = 1,\ a' = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let δ be a multiindex and $0 < \mu \le 1$.

i)

$$|||\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2} C|||_{\infty} \ \le \ \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta} C(k)| \ \le \ \frac{vol}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \sup_{k \in \mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d} |\mathrm{D}^{\delta} C(k)|$$

and

$$\|C\|_{1,\infty} \le {\scriptstyle const}\, T_\mu \|C(k)\|^{\check{}}_1 \le {\scriptstyle const}\, \frac{vol}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\, T_\mu \|C(k)\|^{\check{}}_\infty$$

where vol is the volume of the support of $C(k)$ in $\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$ and the constant ${\scriptstyle const}$ depends only on the dimension d.

ii) Assume that there is an r–times differentiable real valued function $e(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathrm{I\!R}^d$ such that $|e(\mathbf{k})| \ge \mu$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{I\!R}^d$ and a real valued, compactly supported, smooth, non negative function $U(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathrm{I\!R}^d$ such that

$$C(k) = \frac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

Set

$$g_1 = \int_{\mathrm{supp}\, U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \frac{1}{|e(\mathbf{k})|} \qquad\qquad g_2 = \int_{\mathrm{supp}\, U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \frac{\mu}{|e(\mathbf{k})|^2}$$

Then there is a constant ${\scriptstyle const}$ such that, for all multiindices δ whose spatial part $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r - d - 1$,

$$|||C|||_{\infty} \ \le \ {\scriptstyle const} \qquad\qquad \frac{1}{\delta!} |||\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2} C|||_{1,\infty} \ \le \ \frac{const}{\mu^{d+|\delta|}} \begin{cases} g_1 & \textit{if } |\delta| = 0 \\ 2^{|\delta|}\, g_2 & \textit{if } |\delta| \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

The constant ${\scriptstyle const}$ depends only on the dimension d, the degree of differentiability r, the ultraviolet cutoff $U(\mathbf{k})$ and the quantities $\sup_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathrm{D}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} e(\mathbf{k})|$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathrm{I\!N}_0^d$, $|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \le r$.

iii) Assume that C is of the form

$$C(k) = \frac{U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

with real valued functions $U(\mathbf{k})$, $e(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathrm{I\!R}^d$ and $\chi(k)$ on $\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$ that fulfill the following conditions:

The function $e(\mathbf{k})$ is r times differentiable. $|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})| \ge \mu$ for all $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ in the support of $U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)$. The function $U(\mathbf{k})$ is smooth and has compact support. The *function* $\chi(k)$ *is smooth and has compact support and* $0 \le \chi(k) \le U(\mathbf{k}) \le 1$ *for all* $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$.

There is a constant const *such that*

$$|\!|\!| C |\!|\!|_\infty \ \le \ \text{const} \tag{IV.2}$$

The constant const *depends on* d, μ *and the supports of* $U(\mathbf{k})$ *and* χ.

Let $r_0 \in \mathrm{I\!N}$. *There is a constant* const *such that, for all multiindices* δ *whose spatial part* $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r - d - 1$ *and whose temporal part* $|\delta_0| \le r_0 - 2$,

$$|\!|\!| \mathcal{D}^\delta_{1,2} C |\!|\!|_{1,\infty} \ \le \ \text{const} \tag{IV.3}$$

The constant const *depends on* d, r, r_0, μ, $U(\mathbf{k})$ *and the quantities* $\sup_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathrm{D}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} e(\mathbf{k})|$ *with* $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathrm{I\!N}_0^d$, $|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \le r$ *and* $\sup_k |\mathrm{D}^\beta \chi(k)|$ *with* $\beta \in \mathrm{I\!N}_0 \times \mathrm{I\!N}_0^d$, $\beta_0 \le r_0$, $|\boldsymbol{\beta}| \le r$.

Proof:

i) As the Fourier transform of the operator $\mathrm{D}^{\delta'}$ is, up to a sign, multiplication by $[-i(x-x')]^{\delta'}$, we have for $\xi = (x, \sigma, a)$ and $\xi' = (x', \sigma', a')$

$$\big|(x-x')^{\delta'}\big|\, |\mathcal{D}^\delta_{1,2} C(\xi,\xi')| \le \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta+\delta'} C(k)|$$

In particular

$$|\mathcal{D}^\delta_{1,2} C(\xi,\xi')| \le \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta} C(k)| \tag{IV.4}$$

and, for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, d$,

$$\mu^{d+2} |x_j - x_j'| \prod_{i=0}^d |x_i - x_i'| \, |\mathcal{D}^\delta_{1,2} C(\xi,\xi')| \le \mu^{d+2} \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta+\epsilon+\epsilon_j} C(k)| \tag{IV.5$_j$}$$

where $\epsilon = (1, 1, \cdots, 1)$ and ϵ_j is the $j^{\rm th}$ unit vector. Taking the geometric mean of (IV.5$_0$), $\cdots$, (IV.5$_d$) on the left hand side and the arithmetic mean on the right hand side gives

$$\mu^{d+2} \prod_{i=0}^d |x_i - x_i'|^{1+\frac{1}{d+1}} \, |\mathcal{D}^\delta_{1,2} C(\xi,\xi')| \le \tfrac{\mu^{d+2}}{d+1} \sum_{j=0}^d \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta+\epsilon+\epsilon_j} C(k)| \tag{IV.6}$$

Adding (IV.4) and (IV.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(1 + \mu^{d+2} \prod_{i=0}^d |x_i - x_i'|^{1+\frac{1}{d+1}}\Big) \, |\mathcal{D}^\delta_{1,2} C(\xi,\xi')| \\ &\qquad\qquad \le \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta} C(k)| + \tfrac{\mu^{d+2}}{d+1} \sum_{j=0}^d \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, |\mathrm{D}^{\delta+\epsilon+\epsilon_j} C(k)| \end{aligned} \tag{IV.7}$$

Dividing across and using $\int \frac{d^{d+1}x}{1+\mu^{d+2}\prod_{i=0}^{d}|x_i|^{1+\frac{1}{d+1}}} \le {\scriptstyle const}\frac{1}{\mu^{d+1}}$ we get

$$\big|\!\big|\!\big|\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2}C(\xi,\xi')\big|\!\big|\!\big|_{1,\infty} \le {\scriptstyle const}\Big(\tfrac{1}{\mu^{d+1}}\int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\,|\mathrm{D}^{\delta}C(k)| + \tfrac{\mu}{d+1}\sum_{j=0}^{d}\int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\,|\mathrm{D}^{\delta+\epsilon+\epsilon_j}C(k)|\Big)$$

The contents of the bracket on the right hand side are, up to a factor of $\frac{1}{\delta!}$, the coefficient of t^{δ} in $T_\mu\|C(k)\|\check{}_1$.

ii) Denote by

$$C(t,\mathbf{k}) = \int \tfrac{dk_0}{2\pi}e^{-\imath k_0 t}\tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})} = U(\mathbf{k})e^{-e(\mathbf{k})t}\begin{cases} -\chi\big(e(\mathbf{k})>0\big) & \text{if } t>0 \\ \chi\big(e(\mathbf{k})<0\big) & \text{if } t\le 0\end{cases}$$

the partial Fourier transform of $C(k)$ in the k_0 direction. (As usual, the case $t=0$ is defined through the limit $t\to 0-$.) Then, for $|\boldsymbol{\delta}|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|\le r$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big|(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^{\boldsymbol{\delta}'}\big|\,|\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2}C(\xi,\xi')| &\le \int \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}\,|\mathcal{D}^{\delta_0}_{1,2}\mathrm{D}^{\boldsymbol{\delta}+\boldsymbol{\delta}'}C(t-t',\mathbf{k})| \\ &\le {\scriptstyle const}\int_{\operatorname{supp}U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \big(|t-t'|^{\delta_0+|\boldsymbol{\delta}|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|}+|t-t'|^{\delta_0}\big)e^{-|e(\mathbf{k})(t-t')|} \\ &\le {\scriptstyle const}\int_{\operatorname{supp}U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \Big[\tfrac{(\frac{3}{2})^{\delta_0+|\boldsymbol{\delta}|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|}(\delta_0+|\boldsymbol{\delta}|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|)!}{|e(\mathbf{k})|^{\delta_0+|\boldsymbol{\delta}|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|}}+\tfrac{(\frac{3}{2})^{\delta_0}\delta_0!}{|e(\mathbf{k})|^{\delta_0}}\Big]e^{-|e(\mathbf{k})(t-t')/3|} \\ &\le {\scriptstyle const}\ 2^{\delta_0}\delta_0!\int_{\operatorname{supp}U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \tfrac{1}{|e(\mathbf{k})|^{|\delta|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|}}e^{-|e(\mathbf{k})(t-t')/3|}\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $|\!|\!|C|\!|\!|_\infty \le {\scriptstyle const}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\big|(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')^{\boldsymbol{\delta}'}\big|\int dt'\ |\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2}C(\xi,\xi')| &\le {\scriptstyle const}\ 2^{\delta_0}\delta_0!\int_{\operatorname{supp}U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \tfrac{1}{|e(\mathbf{k})|^{|\delta|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|+1}} \\ &\le {\scriptstyle const}\ 2^{\delta_0}\delta_0!\begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } |\delta|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|=0 \\ \frac{g_2}{\mu^{|\delta|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|}} & \text{if } |\delta|+|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|>0\end{cases} \\ &\le {\scriptstyle const}\ \tfrac{2^{\delta_0}\delta_0!}{\mu^{|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|}}\begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } |\delta|=0 \\ \frac{g_2}{\mu^{|\delta|}} & \text{if } |\delta|>0\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

since $g_1\ge g_2$. As in equations (IV.4) – (IV.7), choosing various $\boldsymbol{\delta}'$'s with $|\boldsymbol{\delta}'|=d+1$,

$$\int dt'\ |\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2}C(\xi,\xi')| \le {\scriptstyle const}\ 2^{\delta_0}\delta_0!\frac{1}{1+\mu^{d+1}\prod_{i=1}^{d}|x_i-x_i'|^{1+\frac{1}{d}}}\begin{cases} g_1 & \text{if } |\delta|=0 \\ \frac{g_2}{\mu^{|\delta|}} & \text{if } |\delta|>0\end{cases}$$

Integrating $\mathbf{x}'$ gives the desired bound on $|\!|\!|\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2}C|\!|\!|_{1,\infty}$.

iii) Write

$$C(k) = C_1(k) - C_2(k) + C_3(k)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(k) &= \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - E} \\ C_2(k) &= \tfrac{\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - E} \\ C_3(k) &= \tfrac{e(\mathbf{k}) - E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)} \big(U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)\big) \end{aligned}$$

and define the covariances C_j by

$$C_j(\xi, \xi') = \begin{cases} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \, e^{\imath <k, x - x'>} \, C_j(k) & \text{if } a = 0,\ a' = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } a = a' \\ -C_j\big(\xi', \xi\big) & \text{if } a = 1,\ a' = 0 \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. For $a = 0,\ a' = 1$

$$C_1\big((x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma, a), (x_0', \mathbf{x}', \sigma', a')\big) = -\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \frac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \, e^{\imath <\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'>} \, U(\mathbf{k}) \begin{cases} e^{-E(x_0 - x_0')} & \text{if } x_0 > x_0' \\ 0 & \text{if } x_0 \le x_0' \end{cases}$$

and, for $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r,\ |\delta_0| \le r_0$,

$$|||\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2} C_1|||_\infty \ \le\ \tfrac{const}{E^{\delta_0}} \delta_0! \ \le\ const \qquad\qquad |||\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2} C_1|||_{1,\infty} \ \le\ \tfrac{const}{E^{\delta_0 + 1}} \delta_0! \ \le\ const$$

By Remark IV.7

$$\| C_2(k) \|_1^{\check{}} \le \| \chi(k) \|_1^{\check{}} \| \tfrac{1}{\imath k_0 - E} \|_\infty^{\check{}} \le \| \chi(k) \|_1^{\check{}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{E^{n+1}} t_0^n \right)$$

so that, for $|\delta_0| \le r_0 - 2$ and $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r - d - 1$,

$$|||\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2} C_2|||_\infty \ \le\ const \qquad\qquad |||\mathcal{D}^{\delta}_{1,2} C_2|||_{1,\infty} \ \le\ const$$

by part (i).

We now bound C_3. Let B be the support of $U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)$. On B, $|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})| \ge \mu > 0$ and $|e(\mathbf{k})| \le E$, so we have, for $\delta = (\delta_0, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \ne 0$ with $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r$ and $\delta_0 \le r_0$,

$$\left| \mathrm{D}^{\delta} \tfrac{e(\mathbf{k}) - E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)} \right| \le const \tfrac{E}{|\imath k_0 - E|} \left(\tfrac{1}{|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})|^{|\delta| + 1}} + \tfrac{1}{|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})|} \right) \le const \tfrac{1}{\mu^{|\delta|}} \tfrac{E}{|\imath k_0 - E||\imath k_0 - \mu|}$$

Integrating

$$\tfrac{1}{\delta!} \int_B \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \left| \mathrm{D}^{\delta} \tfrac{e(\mathbf{k}) - E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)} \right| \le \text{const}$$

It follows that

$$\Big\| \tfrac{e(\mathbf{k})-E}{(\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}))(\imath k_0 - E)} \Big\|_{1,B}^{\check{}} \le \text{const} \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r \\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|> r \\ \text{or }\ |\delta_0|> r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta$$

and, by Remark IV.7, that

$$\|C_3(k)\|_1^{\check{}} \le \text{const} \Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r \\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|> r \\ \text{or }\ |\delta_0|> r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta \Big) \Big(\|U(\mathbf{k})\|_\infty^{\check{}} + \|\chi(k)\|_\infty^{\check{}} \Big)$$

By part (i) of this Proposition and the previous bounds on C_1 and C_2, this concludes the proof of part (iii). ■

Corollary IV.9 *Under the hypotheses of Proposition IV.8.ii, the* $(d+1)$*–dimensional norm*

$$\begin{aligned} \|C\|_{1,\infty} &\le \tfrac{const}{\mu^d} \Big(g_1 + g_2 \sum_{\substack{|\delta|\ge 1 \\ |\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r-d-1}} \big(\tfrac{2}{\mu}\big)^{|\delta|} t^\delta + \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\ge r-d} \infty\, t^\delta \Big) \\ &\le \tfrac{const\, g_1}{\mu^d} \Big(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r-d-1} \big(\tfrac{2}{\mu}\big)^{|\delta|} t^\delta + \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\ge r-d} \infty\, t^\delta \Big) \end{aligned}$$

Under the hypotheses of Proposition IV.8.iii

$$\|C\|_{1,\infty} \le \text{const} \Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r-d-1 \\ |\delta_0|\le r_0-2}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|> r-d-1 \\ \text{or }\ |\delta_0|> r_0-2}} \infty\, t^\delta \Big)$$

In the renormalization group analysis we shall add a counterterm $\delta e(\mathbf{k})$ to the dispersion relation $e(\mathbf{k})$. For such a counterterm, we define the Fourier transform$^{(1)}$

$$\delta\hat{e}(\xi,\xi') = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}\, \delta_{a,a'}\, \delta(x_0 - x_0') \int e^{(-1)^a \imath\, \mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}\, \delta e(\mathbf{k})\, \tfrac{d^d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}$$

for $\xi = (x,a) = (x_0,\mathbf{x},\sigma,a)$, $\xi' = (x',a') = (x_0',\mathbf{x}',\sigma',a') \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition IV.10 Fix r_0 and r. Let

$$\mathfrak{c}_0 = \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r \\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|> r \\ \text{or }\ |\delta_0|> r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$$

The map $\mathfrak{e}_0(X) = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_0}{1-X}$ from $X \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ with $X_{\mathbf{0}} < 1$ to $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ is used to implement the differentiability properties of various kernels depending on a counterterm whose norm is bounded by X.

---

(1) A comprehensive set of Fourier transform conventions are formulated in §IX.

Proposition IV.11 *Let*

$$C(k) = \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}) + \delta e(\mathbf{k})} \qquad\qquad C_0(k) = \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

with real valued functions $U(\mathbf{k})$, $e(\mathbf{k})$, $\delta e(\mathbf{k})$ *on* $\mathrm{I\!R}^d$ *and* $\chi(k)$ *on* $\mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$ *that fulfill the following conditions:*

> *The function* $e(\mathbf{k})$ *is* $r+d+1$ *times differentiable.* $|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})| \ge \mu_e > 0$ *for all* $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ *in the support of* $U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)$*. The function* $U(\mathbf{k})$ *is smooth and has compact support. The function* $\chi(k)$ *is smooth and has compact support and* $0 \le \chi(k) \le U(\mathbf{k}) \le 1$ *for all* $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$*. The function* $\delta e(\mathbf{k})$ *obeys*
>
> $$\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty} < \mu + \sum_{\delta \ne \mathbf{0}} \infty\, t^\delta$$

Then, there is a constant $\mu_1 > 0$ *such that if* $\mu < \mu_1$*, the following hold*

i) C *is an analytic function of* δe *and*

$$|||C|||_\infty \ \le \ \text{const} \qquad\qquad |||C - C_0|||_\infty \le \text{const}\, |||\delta\hat{e}|||_{1,\infty}$$

and

$$\|C\|_{1,\infty} \le \text{const}\, \mathfrak{e}_0\big(\scriptstyle{\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty}}\big) \qquad\qquad \|C - C_0\|_{1,\infty} \le \text{const}\, \mathfrak{e}_0\big(\scriptstyle{\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty}}\big)\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty}$$

ii) Let

$$C_s(k) = \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k}) + \delta e(\mathbf{k}) + s\delta e'(\mathbf{k})}$$

Then

$$\Big|\Big|\Big| \tfrac{d}{ds} C_s\big|_{s=0} \Big|\Big|\Big|_\infty \ \le \ \text{const}\, |||\delta\hat{e}'|||_{1,\infty} \qquad\qquad \Big\| \tfrac{d}{ds} C_s\big|_{s=0} \Big\|_{1,\infty} \le \text{const}\, \mathfrak{e}_0\big(\scriptstyle{\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty}}\big)\, \|\delta\hat{e}'\|_{1,\infty}$$

Proof: i) The first bound follows from (IV.2), by replacing e by $e - \delta e$.

Select a smooth, compactly support function $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})$ and a smooth compactly supported function $\tilde{\chi}(k)$ such that $0 \le \tilde{\chi}(k) \le \tilde{U}(\mathbf{k}) \le 1$ for all $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathrm{I\!R} \times \mathrm{I\!R}^d$, $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k}) - \tilde{\chi}(k)$ is identically 1 on the support of $U(\mathbf{k}) - \chi(k)$ and $|\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})| \ge \frac{1}{2}\mu_e$ for all $k = (k_0, \mathbf{k})$ in the support of $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k}) - \tilde{\chi}(k)$. Let

$$\tilde{C}_0(k) = \tfrac{\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})-\tilde{\chi}(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C(k) &= \frac{C_0(k)}{1 + \frac{\delta e(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}} = \frac{C_0(k)}{1 + \frac{\delta e(\mathbf{k})\,(\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k}) - \tilde{\chi}(k))}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}} = \frac{C_0(k)}{1 + \delta e(\mathbf{k})\, \tilde{C}_0(k)} \\ &= C_0(k) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \big(- \delta e(\mathbf{k})\, \tilde{C}_0(k) \big)^n \end{aligned}$$

Then, by iterated application of Lemma II.7 and the second part of Corollary IV.9, with r replaced by $r+d+1$ and r_0 replaced by r_0+2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C\|_{1,\infty} &\le \|C_0\|_{1,\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \big(\|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}\, \|\tilde C_0\|_{1,\infty}\big)^n \\ &\le \text{const}\, \mathfrak{c}_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \big(\text{const}'\, \mathfrak{c}_0\, \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}\big)^n \\ &= \text{const}\, \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}_0}{1-\text{const}'\, \mathfrak{c}_0 \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}} \end{aligned}$$

If $\mu_1 < \min\{\frac{1}{2\text{const}'}, 1\}$, then, by Corollary A.5.i, with $\Delta = \big\{\ \delta \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}\ \big|\ |\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r,\ |\delta_0| \le r_0\ \big\}$, $\mu = \text{const}'$, $\Lambda = 1$ and $X = \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}$,

$$\|C\|_{1,\infty} \le \text{const}\, \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}_0}{1-\|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|C-C_0\|_{1,\infty} &\le \|C_0\|_{1,\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \big(\|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}\, \|\tilde C_0\|_{1,\infty}\big)^n \\ &\le \text{const}\, \mathfrak{c}_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \big(\text{const}'\, \mathfrak{c}_0\, \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}\big)^n \\ &\le \text{const}\, \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}_0^2\, \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}}{1-\text{const}'\, \mathfrak{c}_0 \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}} \\ &\le \text{const}\, \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}_0 \|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}}{1-\|\delta\hat e\|_{1,\infty}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |||C-C_0|||_{\infty} &\le |||C_0|||_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \big(|||\delta\hat e|||_{1,\infty}\, |||\tilde C_0|||_{1,\infty}\big)^n \\ &\le \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \big(\text{const}'\, |||\delta\hat e|||_{1,\infty}\big)^n \\ &\le \text{const}\, \tfrac{|||\delta\hat e|||_{1,\infty}}{1-\text{const}'\, \mu} \\ &\le \text{const}\, |||\delta\hat e|||_{1,\infty} \end{aligned}$$

ii) As

$$\tfrac{d}{ds} C_s(k)\big|_{s=0} = -\tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})-\chi(k)}{[\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})+\delta e(\mathbf{k})]^2}\delta e'(\mathbf{k})$$

the first bound is a consequence of Proposition IV.8.i.

Let $\tilde U(\mathbf{k})$ and $\tilde\chi(k)$ be as in part (i) and set

$$\tilde C(k) = \tfrac{\tilde U(\mathbf{k})-\tilde\chi(k)}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})+\delta e(\mathbf{k})}$$

Then

$$\tfrac{d}{ds} C_s(k)\big|_{s=0} = -C(k)\tilde C(k)\delta e'(\mathbf{k})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \tfrac{d}{ds} C_s(k)\big|_{s=0} \right\|_{1,\infty} &\le \|C\|_{1,\infty} \|\tilde{C}\|_{1,\infty} \|\delta\hat{e}'\|_{1,\infty} \le \mathrm{const}\, \mathfrak{e}_0\big(\scriptstyle{\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty}} \big)^2 \|\delta\hat{e}'\|_{1,\infty} \\ &\le \mathrm{const}\, \mathfrak{e}_0\big(\scriptstyle{\|\delta\hat{e}\|_{1,\infty}} \big) \|\delta\hat{e}'\|_{1,\infty}\end{aligned}$$

by Corollary A.5.ii.

■

V. Insulators

An insulator is a many fermion system as described in the introduction, for which the dispersion relation $e(\mathbf{k})$ does not have a zero on the support of the ultraviolet cutoff $U(\mathbf{k})$. We may assume that there is a constant $\mu > 0$ such that $e(\mathbf{k}) \ge \mu$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We shall show in Theorem V.2 that for sufficiently small coupling constant the Green's functions for the interacting system exist and differ by very little from the Green's functions of the noninteracting system in the supremum norm.

Lemma V.1 *Let $\rho_{m;n}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $\rho_{m;n'} \le \rho_{m;n}$ for $n' \le n$. Define for $f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$*

$$\|f\| = \rho_{m;n}\, \|f\|_{1,\infty}$$

where $\|f\|_{1,\infty}$ is the L_1–L_∞–norm introduced in Example II.6.

i) The seminorms $\|\cdot\|$ are symmetric.

ii) For a covariance C, let $S(C)$ be the quantity introduced in Definition IV.1. Then $2S(C)$ is an integral bound for the covariance C with respect to the family of seminorms $\|\cdot\|$.

iii) Let C be a covariance. Assume that for all $m \ge 0$ and $n, n' \ge 1$

$$\rho_{m;\, n+n'-2} \le \rho_{m;n}\, \rho_{0;n'}$$

Let $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ obey

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c} &\ge \|C\|_{1,\infty} \\ \mathfrak{c}_{\mathbf{0}} &\ge \tfrac{\rho_{m+m';\, n+n'-2}}{\rho_{m;n}\, \rho_{m';n'}}\, |||C|||_\infty \qquad \text{for all } m, m', n, n' \ge 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is the constant coefficient of the formal power series $\mathfrak{c}$. Then $\mathfrak{c}$ is a contraction bound for the covariance C with respect to the family of seminorms $\|\cdot\|$.

Proof: Parts (i) and (ii) are trivial. To prove part (iii), let $f \in \mathcal{F}_m(n)$, $f' \in \mathcal{F}_{m'}(n')$ and $1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le n'$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_{m+m'};\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{i-1},\xi_{i+1},\cdots,\xi_n,\xi_{n+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+j-1},\xi_{n+j+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+n'}) \\ &\qquad = \int d\zeta\, d\zeta'\, f(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{i-1},\zeta,\xi_{i+1},\cdots,\xi_n)\, C(\zeta,\zeta') \\ &\qquad\qquad f'(\eta_{m+1},\cdots,\eta_{m+m'};\xi_{n+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+j-1},\zeta',\xi_{n+j+1},\cdots,\xi_{n+n'}) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathop{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}_{i\to n+j} \mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f') = \mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}\, g$$

and therefore

$$\Big\| \mathop{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}_{i\to n+j} \mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f')\Big\| \le \|g\|$$

If $m, m' \ge 1$

$$\|g\|_{1,\infty} \ \le\ \|f\|_{1,\infty}\, |||C|||_\infty\, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \mathop{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}_{i\to n+j} \mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f')\Big\| &\le \rho_{m+m';n+n'-2}\, |||C|||_\infty\, \|f\|_{1,\infty}\, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}\\ &\le \mathfrak{c}_{\mathbf{0}}\, \rho_{m;n}\, \|f\|_{1,\infty}\ \rho_{m';n'}\, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}\\ &\le \mathfrak{c}\, \|f\|\, \|f'\|\end{aligned}$$

If $m=0$ or $m'=0$, by iterated application of Lemma II.7

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|_{1,\infty} \le\ &\Big\| \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\zeta\ f(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_m;\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_{i-1},\zeta,\xi_{i+1},\cdots,\xi_n)\, C(\zeta,\zeta')\Big\|_{1,\infty}\, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}\\ &\le \|f\|_{1,\infty}\, \|C\|_{1,\infty}\, \|f'\|_{1,\infty}\end{aligned}$$

and again

$$\Big\| \mathop{\mathcal{C}\mathrm{on}_C}_{i\to n+j} \mathrm{Ant}_{\mathrm{ext}}(f\otimes f')\Big\| \le\ \mathfrak{c}\, \|f\|\, \|f'\|$$

■

To formulate the result about insulators, we define for a function $f(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$, on $\big(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}\big)^n$, the L_1–L_∞–norm as in Example II.6 to be

$$|||f|||_{1,\infty} = \max_{1\le j\le n}\ \sup_{x_j} \int \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i\ne j}}^{n} dx_i\, |f(x_1,\cdots,x_n)|$$

Theorem V.2 (Insulators) *Let r and r_0 be natural numbers. Let $e(\mathbf{k})$ be a dispersion relation on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that is at least $r+d+1$ times differentiable, and let $U(\mathbf{k})$ be a compactly supported, smooth ultraviolet cutoff on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that there is a constant $0<\mu<\frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$e(\mathbf{k}) \ge \mu \qquad\qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{k} \text{ in the support of } U$$

Set

$$g = \int_{\mathrm{supp}\, U} d^d\mathbf{k}\ \tfrac{1}{|e(\mathbf{k})|} \qquad\qquad \gamma = \max\Big\{1, \sqrt{\textstyle\int d^d\mathbf{k}\ U(\mathbf{k}) \log \frac{E}{|e(\mathbf{k})|}}\ \Big\}$$

where $E = \max\big\{1, \sup\limits_{\mathbf{k}\in\operatorname{supp} U} |e(\mathbf{k})|\big\}$. *Let, for* $x = (x_0, \mathbf{x}, \sigma)$, $x' = (x_0', \mathbf{x}', \sigma') \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}$

$$C(x,x') = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \int \tfrac{d^{d+1}k}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\, e^{\imath<k,x-x'>_-}\, \tfrac{U(\mathbf{k})}{\imath k_0 - e(\mathbf{k})}$$

and set, for $\xi = (x,a)$, $\xi' = (x',a') \in \mathcal{B}$

$$C(\xi,\xi') = C(x,x')\,\delta_{a,0}\delta_{a',1} - C(x',x)\,\delta_{a,1}\delta_{a',0}$$

Furthermore let

$$\mathcal{V}(\psi,\bar\psi) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\})^4} dx_1dy_1dx_2dy_2\;V_0(x_1,y_1,x_2,y_2)\,\bar\psi(x_1)\psi(y_1)\bar\psi(x_2)\psi(y_2)$$

be a two particle interaction with a kernel V_0 *that is antisymmetric in the variables* x_1, x_2 *and* y_1, y_2 *separately. Set*

$$\upsilon = \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{D}\ \text{decay operator}\\ \text{with}\ \delta_0\le r_0,\ |\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r}} \mu^{|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}\, |||\mathcal{D}\ V_0|||_{1,\infty}$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ *and a constant* $_{const}$ *such that*

i) If $|||V_0|||_{1,\infty} \le \frac{\varepsilon\,\mu^d}{g\gamma^2}$ *, the connected amputated Green's functions* $G_{2n}^{\rm amp}(x_1,y_1,\cdots,x_n,y_n)$ *exist in the space of all functions on* $\big(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}\big)^{2n}$ *with finite* $|||\ \cdot\ |||_{1,\infty}$ *norms. They are analytic functions of* V_0*.*

ii) Suppose that $\upsilon \le \frac{\varepsilon\,\mu^d}{g\gamma^2}$*. For all decay operators* $\mathcal{D}$ *with* $\delta_0(\mathcal{D}) \le r_0$ *and* $|\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathcal{D})| \le r$

$$\begin{aligned} |||\mathcal{D}\, G_{2n}^{\rm amp}|||_{1,\infty} &\le \tfrac{const^n\ g\gamma^{6-2n}}{\mu^{d+|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}}\ \upsilon^2 \qquad\qquad \text{if } n\ge 3\\ |||\mathcal{D}(G_4^{\rm amp} - V_0)|||_{1,\infty} &\le \tfrac{const^2\ g\gamma^2}{\mu^{d+|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}}\ \upsilon^2\\ |||\mathcal{D}(G_2^{\rm amp} - K)|||_{1,\infty} &\le \tfrac{const\ \ g\gamma^4}{\mu^{d+|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}}\ \upsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(x,y) = 4\int dx'dy'\ V_0(x,y,x',y')\,C(x',y')$$

The constants ε *and* $_{const}$ *depend on* r*,* r_0*,* U*, and the suprema of the* $\mathbf{k}$*–derivatives of the dispersion relation* $e(\mathbf{k})$ *up to order* $r+d+1$*, but not on* μ *or* V_0*.*

Proof: By (I.1), the generating functional for the connected amputated Green's functions is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rm gen}^{\rm amp}(\phi) = \Omega_C(\mathcal{V})(0,\phi)$$

To estimate it, we use the norms $\|\cdot\|$ of Lemma V.1 with $\rho_{m;n}=1$. By part (ii) of Lemma V.1 and Proposition IV.5, there is a constant const_0 such that $\mathrm{b}=\mathit{const}_0\,\gamma$ is an integral bound for the covariance C with respect to these norms. By part (iii) of Lemma V.1, Corollary IV.9 and part (ii) of Proposition IV.8, there is a constant const_1 such that

$$\mathfrak{c}=\tfrac{\mathit{const}_1\,g}{\mu^d}\Big(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r}\big(\tfrac{2}{\mu}\big)^{|\delta|}t^\delta+\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r}\infty\,t^\delta\Big)$$

is a contraction bound for C with respect to these norms. Here we used that $\frac{g}{\mu^d}$ is bounded below by a nonzero (E and $U(\mathbf{k})$–dependent) constant. As in Definition III.9, we set for any Grassmann function $\mathcal{W}(\phi,\psi)$ and any $\alpha>0$

$$N(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha)=\tfrac{1}{\mathrm{b}^2}\,\mathfrak{c}\sum_{m,n\ge 0}\alpha^n\,\mathrm{b}^n\,\|\mathcal{W}_{m,n}\|$$

In particular

$$N(\mathcal{V};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha)=\alpha^4\,\mathrm{b}^2\mathfrak{c}\,\|V_0\|_{1,\infty}$$

and

$$N(\mathcal{V};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},8\alpha)_{\mathbf{0}}\ \le\ \mathit{const}_3\,\tfrac{8^4\,\alpha^4\gamma^2 g}{\mu^d}\,|||V_0|||_{1,\infty} \tag{V.1}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{c}\,\|V_0\|_{1,\infty}&\le\tfrac{\mathit{const}_1\,g}{\mu^d}\Big(\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r}\big(\tfrac{2}{\mu}\big)^{|\delta|}t^\delta+\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r}\infty\,t^\delta\Big)\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\|\delta_0|\le r_0}}\tfrac{1}{\delta!}\tfrac{v}{\mu^{|\delta|}}t^\delta+\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\\text{or }|\delta_0|>r_0}}\infty\,t^\delta\Big)\\&\le\mathit{const}_2\,\tfrac{gv}{\mu^d}\,\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\|\delta_0|\le r_0}}\tfrac{1}{\mu^{|\delta|}}t^\delta+\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\\text{or }|\delta_0|>r_0}}\infty\,t^\delta\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Write $\mathcal{V}=\,:\!\mathcal{V}'\!:_C$. By part (i) of Proposition A.2 of [FKTr1],

$$\mathcal{V}'=\mathcal{V}+\int dx\,dy\,K(x,y)\,\bar\psi(x)\psi(y)+\mathrm{const}$$

and by part (i) of Corollary II.32 of [FKTr1]

$$\begin{aligned}N(\mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha)\ &\le\ N(\mathcal{V};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},2\alpha)\ =\ 16\,\alpha^4\,\mathrm{b}^2\mathfrak{c}\,\|V_0\|_{1,\infty}\\&\le\mathit{const}_3\,\alpha^4\gamma^2\tfrac{gv}{\mu^d}\,\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\|\delta_0|\le r_0}}\tfrac{1}{\mu^{|\delta|}}t^\delta+\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\\text{or }\delta_0|>r_0}}\infty\,t^\delta\Big)\end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha=2$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2^{17}\,\mathit{const}_3}$. Then

$$N(\mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},16)\ \le\tfrac{g\gamma^2 v}{2\varepsilon\mu^d}\,\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\|\delta_0|\le r_0}}\tfrac{1}{\mu^{|\delta|}}t^\delta+\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\\text{or }\delta_0|>r_0}}\infty\,t^\delta\Big)$$

and, by (V.1)

$$N(\mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},16)_{\mathbf{0}} \ \le\ \tfrac{g\gamma^2}{2\varepsilon\mu^d}\,|||V_0|||_{1,\infty}$$

Therefore, whenever $|||V_0|||_{1,\infty} \le \frac{\varepsilon\,\mu^d}{g\gamma^2}$, $\mathcal{V}'$ fulfills the hypotheses of Theorem III.10 and $\mathcal{G}^{\rm amp}_{\rm gen}(\psi) = \Omega_C(:\mathcal{V}':)(0,\psi)$ exists. Part (i) follows.

If, in addition, $\upsilon \le \frac{\varepsilon\,\mu^d}{g\gamma^2}$, then

$$N(\mathcal{G}^{\rm amp}_{\rm gen} - \mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},2) \le \tfrac{1}{2}\,\tfrac{N(\mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},16)^2}{1-N(\mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},16)} \le \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\tfrac{g\gamma^2\upsilon}{2\varepsilon\mu^d}\big)^2 f\big(\tfrac{t}{\mu}\big)$$

where

$$f(t) = \frac{\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\ \text{or }\ \delta_0|>r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta\Big)^2}{1-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\ \text{or }\ \delta_0|>r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta\Big)} = \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} F_\delta\, t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\ \text{or }\ \delta_0|>r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta$$

with F_δ finite for all $|\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r,\ |\delta_0| \le r_0$. Hence

$$N(\mathcal{G}^{\rm amp}_{\rm gen} - \mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},2) \le {\scriptstyle const_4}\,\big(\tfrac{g\gamma^2\upsilon}{\varepsilon\mu^d}\big)^2\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} \tfrac{1}{\mu^{|\delta|}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\ \text{or }\ \delta_0|>r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta\Big)$$

with ${\scriptstyle const_4} = \frac{1}{8} \max\limits_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} F_\delta$. As

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{G}^{\rm amp}_{\rm gen} - \mathcal{V}';\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},2) &= \mathfrak{c}\Big(4\,\|G^{\rm amp}_2 - K\| + 16\mathrm{b}^2\,\|G^{\rm amp}_4 - V_0\| + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} 4(2\mathrm{b})^{2n-2}\,\|G^{\rm amp}_{2n}\|\Big)\\ &\ge \tfrac{4{\scriptstyle const_1}\, g}{\mu^d}\Big(\|G^{\rm amp}_2 - K\|_{1,\infty} + 4\,{\scriptstyle const}_0^2\,\gamma^2\,\|G^{\rm amp}_4 - V_0\|_{1,\infty} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty}({\scriptstyle const_0}\gamma)^{2n-2}\,\|G^{\rm amp}_{2n}\|_{1,\infty}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G^{\rm amp}_2 - K\|_{1,\infty} + 4\,{\scriptstyle const}_0^2\,\gamma^2\,\|G^{\rm amp}_4 - V_0\|_{1,\infty} &+ \sum_{n=3}^{\infty}({\scriptstyle const_0}\gamma)^{2n-2}\,\|G^{\rm amp}_{2n}\|_{1,\infty}\\ &\le {\scriptstyle const_4}\,\tfrac{g\gamma^4\upsilon^2}{4{\scriptstyle const_1}\,\varepsilon^2\mu^d}\Big(\sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|\le r\\ |\delta_0|\le r_0}} \tfrac{1}{\mu^{|\delta|}} t^\delta + \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|>r\\ \text{or }\ \delta_0|>r_0}} \infty\, t^\delta\Big) \end{aligned}$$

The estimates on the amputated Green's functions follow. ■

Remark V.3

i) In reasonable situations, for example if the gradient of $e(\mathbf{k})$ is bounded below, the constants γ and g in Theorem V.2 are of order one and $\log\mu$ respectively.

ii) Using Lemma A.3, one may prove an analog of Theorem V.2 with the constants ε and const independent of r_0 and

$$\begin{aligned}
|||\mathcal{D}\,G_{2n}^{\mathrm{amp}}|||_{1,\infty} &\le \mathit{const}^n\,\delta(\mathcal{D})!\,g\,\gamma^{6-2n}\,\left(\tfrac{8(d+1)}{\mu}\right)^{d+|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}\,\mathfrak{v}^2 &&\text{if } n\ge 3\\
|||\mathcal{D}(G_4^{\mathrm{amp}}-V_0)|||_{1,\infty} &\le \mathit{const}^2\,\delta(\mathcal{D})!\,g\,\gamma^{2}\,\left(\tfrac{8(d+1)}{\mu}\right)^{d+|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}\,\mathfrak{v}^2\\
|||\mathcal{D}(G_2^{\mathrm{amp}}-K)|||_{1,\infty} &\le \mathit{const}\,\delta(\mathcal{D})!\,g\,\gamma^{4}\,\left(\tfrac{8(d+1)}{\mu}\right)^{d+|\delta(\mathcal{D})|}\,\mathfrak{v}^2
\end{aligned}$$

iii) Roughly speaking, the connected Green's function are constructed from the connected amputated Green's functions by appending propagators C. The details are given in §VI. Using Proposition IV.8.ii, one sees that, under the hypotheses of Theorem V.2.i, the connected Green's functions exist in the space of all functions on $\left(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}\right)^{2n}$ with finite $|||\cdot|||_{1,\infty}$ and $|||\cdot|||_{\infty}$ norms.

Appendix A: Calculations in the Norm Domain

Recall from Definition II.4 that the $(d+1)$–dimensional norm domain $\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ is the set of all formal power series

$$X = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} X_\delta \; t_0^{\delta_0} t_1^{\delta_1} \cdots t_d^{\delta_d} = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d} X_\delta \; t^\delta$$

in the variables $t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_d$ with coefficients $X_\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition A.1 A nonempty subset Δ of $\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is called saturated if, for every $\delta \in \Delta$ and every multiindex δ' with $\delta' \le \delta$, the multiindex δ' also lies in Δ. If Δ is a finite set, then

$$N(\Delta) = \min \left\{ \, n \in \mathbb{N} \, \middle| \, n\delta \notin \Delta \text{ for all } \mathbf{0} \ne \delta \in \Delta \, \right\}$$

is finite.

For example, if $r, r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ then the set $\left\{ \, \delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d \, \middle| \, \delta_0 \le r_0, \; |\boldsymbol{\delta}| \le r \, \right\}$ is saturated and $N(\Delta) = \max\{r_0 + 1, r + 1\}$.

Lemma A.2 *Let Δ be a saturated set of multiindices and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$. Furthermore, let $f(t_0, \cdots, t_d)$ and $g(t_0, \cdots, t_d)$ be analytic functions in a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ such that, for all $\delta \in \Delta$, the δ^{th} Taylor coefficients of f and g at the origin are real and nonnegative. Assume that $g(0) < 1$ and that, for all $\delta \in \Delta$,*

$$\begin{aligned} X_\delta &\le \tfrac{1}{\delta!} \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{d} \tfrac{\partial^{\delta_i}}{\partial t_i^{\delta_i}} \Big) f(t_0, \cdots, t_d) \Big|_{t_0 = \cdots = t_d = 0} \\ Y_\delta &\le \tfrac{1}{\delta!} \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{d} \tfrac{\partial^{\delta_i}}{\partial t_i^{\delta_i}} \Big) g(t_0, \cdots, t_d) \Big|_{t_0 = \cdots = t_d = 0} \end{aligned}$$

Set $Z = \frac{X}{1-Y}$ and $h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1-g(t)}$. Then, for all $\delta \in \Delta$,

$$Z_\delta \le \tfrac{1}{\delta!} \Big(\prod_{i=0}^{d} \tfrac{\partial^{\delta_i}}{\partial t_i^{\delta_i}} \Big) h(t_0, \cdots, t_d) \Big|_{t_0 = \cdots = t_d = 0}$$

Proof: Trivial. ■

Example A.3 Let Δ be a saturated set and $a \ge 0$, $0 \le \lambda \le \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\frac{\big(\sum_{\delta\in\Delta} a^{|\delta|} t^\delta + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta\big)^2}{1-\lambda\big(\sum_{\delta\in\Delta} a^{|\delta|} t^\delta + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta\big)} \le \tfrac{16}{3} \sum_{\delta\in\Delta} \big(4(d+1)\,a\big)^{|\delta|}\ t^\delta + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta$$

Proof: Set

$$X = \Big(\sum_{\delta\in\Delta} a^{|\delta|} t^\delta + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta\Big)^2 \qquad f(t) = \Big(\sum_{\delta} a^{|\delta|} t^\delta\Big)^2 = \prod_{i=0}^{d} \tfrac{1}{(1-at_i)^2}$$

$$Y = \lambda\Big(\sum_{\delta\in\Delta} a^{|\delta|} t^\delta + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta\Big) \qquad g(t) = \lambda\Big(\sum_{\delta} a^{|\delta|} t^\delta\Big) = \lambda \prod_{i=0}^{d} \tfrac{1}{1-at_i}$$

Set

$$h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1-g(t)} = \frac{1}{\Pi(1-at_i)}\ \frac{1}{\Pi(1-at_i)-\lambda}$$

By the Cauchy integral formula, with $\rho = \frac{1}{a}\Big(1-\sqrt[d+1]{\tfrac{3}{4}}\Big)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{\delta!}\Big(\prod_{j=0}^{d} \tfrac{\partial^{\delta_j}}{\partial t_j^{\delta_j}}\Big) h(t_0,\cdots,t_d)\Big|_{t_0=\cdots=t_d=0} &= \int_{|z_0|=\rho} \cdots \int_{|z_d|=\rho} h(z) \prod_{j=0}^{d} \Big(\tfrac{1}{z_j^{\delta_j+1}} \tfrac{dz_j}{2\pi\imath}\Big)\\
&\le \tfrac{1}{\rho^{|\delta|}} \sup_{|z_0|=\cdots=|z_d|=\rho} |h(z)|\\
&\le \tfrac{1}{\rho^{|\delta|}} \tfrac{1}{(1-a\rho)^{d+1}}\ \tfrac{1}{(1-a\rho)^{d+1}-\lambda}\\
&\le \tfrac{a^{|\delta|}}{(1-(3/4)^{1/(d+1)})^{|\delta|}}\ \tfrac{4}{3}\ \tfrac{1}{3/4-1/2}\\
&\le \tfrac{16}{3}\ \big(4(d+1)a\big)^{|\delta|}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma A.4

i) Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ *with* $X_{\mathbf{0}} + Y_{\mathbf{0}} < 1$

$$\tfrac{1}{1-X}\ \tfrac{1}{1-Y} \le \tfrac{1}{1-(X+Y)}$$

ii) Let Δ *be a finite saturated set and* $X, Y \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ *with* $X_{\mathbf{0}} + Y_{\mathbf{0}} < \frac{1}{2}$. *There is a constant,* const *depending only on* Δ, *such that*

$$\tfrac{1}{1-(X+Y)} \le \mathit{const}\ \tfrac{1}{1-X}\ \tfrac{1}{1-Y} + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$$

Proof: i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{1-X}\,\tfrac{1}{1-Y} &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} X^m Y^n = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{p} X^m Y^{p-m} \le \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{p} \tbinom{p}{m} X^m Y^{p-m} \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (X+Y)^p = \tfrac{1}{1-(X+Y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) Set $\hat{X} = X - X_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\hat{Y} = Y - Y_{\mathbf{0}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{1-(X+Y)} = \tfrac{1}{1-(X_{\mathbf{0}}+Y_{\mathbf{0}})-(\hat{X}+\hat{Y})} &\le \tfrac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-(\hat{X}+\hat{Y})} \\
&\le 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N(\Delta)-1} (2\hat{X}+2\hat{Y})^n + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta \\
&= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N(\Delta)-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} 2^n \tbinom{n}{m} \hat{X}^m \hat{Y}^{n-m} + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta \\
&\le 2^{2N(\Delta)-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N(\Delta)-1} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \hat{X}^m \hat{Y}^{n-m} + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta \\
&\le 2^{2N(\Delta)-1} \tfrac{1}{1-\hat{X}}\,\tfrac{1}{1-\hat{Y}} + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta \\
&\le 2^{2N(\Delta)-1} \tfrac{1}{1-X}\,\tfrac{1}{1-Y} + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

■

Corollary A.5 *Let Δ be a finite saturated set, $\mu, \Lambda > 0$. Set $\mathfrak{c} = \sum_{\delta\in\Delta} \Lambda^{|\delta|}\, t^\delta + \sum_{\delta\notin\Delta} \infty\, t^\delta$. There is a constant, const depending only on Δ and μ, such that the following hold.*

i) For all $X \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ with $X_{\mathbf{0}} < \min\{\frac{1}{2\mu}, 1\}$.

$$
\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}X} \le \mathit{const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-X}
$$

ii) Set, for $X \in \mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$, $\mathfrak{e}(X) = \frac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\Lambda X}$. If $\mu + \Lambda X_{\mathbf{0}} < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\mathfrak{e}(X)^2 \le \mathit{const}\,\mathfrak{e}(X) \qquad\qquad \tfrac{\mathfrak{e}(X)}{1-\mu\mathfrak{e}(X)} \le \mathit{const}\,\mathfrak{e}(X)
$$

Proof: i) Decompose $X = X_{\mathbf{0}} + \hat{X}$. Then, by Example A.3 and Lemma A.4,

$$
\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}X} = \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu X_{\mathbf{0}}\mathfrak{c}-\mu\mathfrak{c}\hat{X}} \le \mathit{const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu X_{\mathbf{0}}\mathfrak{c}}\ \tfrac{1}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}\hat{X}} \le \mathit{const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mathfrak{c}/2}\ \tfrac{1}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}\hat{X}} \le \mathit{const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}\hat{X}}
$$

Expanding in a geometric series

$$\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}X} \le {\scriptstyle const}\ \mathfrak{c} \sum_{n=0}^{N(\Delta)-1} (\mu\mathfrak{c}\hat{X})^n \le {\scriptstyle const}\ \mathfrak{c}^{N(\Delta)}\big(1+\mu^{N(\Delta)}\big) \sum_{n=0}^{N(\Delta)-1} \hat{X}^n \le {\scriptstyle const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\hat{X}} \le {\scriptstyle const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-X}$$

ii) The first claim follows from the second, by expanding the geometric series. By Lemma A.4.ii and part (i),

$$\tfrac{\mathfrak{e}(X)}{1-\mu\mathfrak{e}(X)} = \frac{\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\Lambda X}}{1-\mu\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\Lambda X}} = \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\Lambda X-\mu\mathfrak{c}} \le {\scriptstyle const}\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}}\tfrac{1}{1-\Lambda X} \le {\scriptstyle const}\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\Lambda X} = {\scriptstyle const}\,\mathfrak{e}(X)$$

■

Remark A.6 The following generalization of Corollary A.5 is proven in the same way. Let Δ be a finite saturated set, $\mu,\ \lambda,\ \Lambda>0$. Set $\mathfrak{c}=\sum_{\delta\in\Delta}\lambda^{\delta_0}\Lambda^{|\boldsymbol{\delta}|}\,t^\delta+\sum_{\delta\notin\Delta}\infty\,t^\delta$. There is a constant, ${\scriptstyle const}$ depending only on Δ and μ, such that the following hold.

i) For all $X\in\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$ with $X_{\mathbf{0}}<\min\{\frac{1}{2\mu},1\}$.

$$\tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\mu\mathfrak{c}X} \le {\scriptstyle const}\ \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-X}$$

ii) Set, for $X\in\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$, $\ \mathfrak{e}(X)=\frac{\mathfrak{c}}{1-\Lambda X}$. If $\mu+\Lambda X_{\mathbf{0}}<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\mathfrak{e}(X)^2 \le {\scriptstyle const}\,\mathfrak{e}(X) \qquad\qquad \tfrac{\mathfrak{e}(X)}{1-\mu\mathfrak{e}(X)} \le {\scriptstyle const}\,\mathfrak{e}(X)$$

Lemma A.7 *Let Δ be a finite saturated set and*

$$X=\sum_{\delta\in\Delta}X_\delta t^\delta+\sum_{\delta\notin\Delta}\infty\,t^\delta\in\mathfrak{N}_{d+1}$$

Let $f(z)$ be analytic at $X_{\mathbf{0}}$, with $f^{(n)}(X_{\mathbf{0}})\ge 0$ for all n, whose radius of convergence at $X_{\mathbf{0}}$ is at least $r>0$. Let $0<\beta<\frac{1}{X_{\mathbf{0}}}$. Then there exists a constant C, depending only on Δ, β, r and $\max\limits_{|z-X_{\mathbf{0}}|=r}\big|f(z)\big|$ such that

$$f(X)\le C\tfrac{1}{1-\beta X}$$

Proof: Set $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta X_{\mathbf{0}}}$ and $\hat{X} = X - X_{\mathbf{0}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &= \sum_n \tfrac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(X_{\mathbf{0}}) \hat{X}^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n<N(\Delta)} \tfrac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(X_{\mathbf{0}}) \hat{X}^n + \sum_{\delta \notin \Delta} \infty \, t^\delta \\ &\leq C \sum_{n<N(\Delta)} \alpha^n \hat{X}^n + \sum_{\delta \notin \Delta} \infty \, t^\delta \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = \max_{n<N(\Delta)} \tfrac{f^{(n)}(X_{\mathbf{0}})}{n! \ \beta^n} > \max_{n<N(\Delta)} \tfrac{f^{(n)}(X_{\mathbf{0}})}{n! \ \alpha^n}$$

Hence

$$f(X) \leq \tfrac{C}{1-\alpha\hat{X}} + \sum_{\delta \notin \Delta} \infty \, t^\delta = \tfrac{C}{1-\alpha(X-X_{\mathbf{0}})} = \tfrac{C(1-\beta X_{\mathbf{0}})}{1-\beta X} \leq \tfrac{C}{1-\beta X}$$

■

References

[FKTf1] J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, E. Trubowitz, **A Two Dimensional Fermi Liquid, Part 1: Overview**, preprint.

[FKTf2] J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, E. Trubowitz, **A Two Dimensional Fermi Liquid, Part 2: Convergence**, preprint.

[FKTf3] J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, E. Trubowitz, **A Two Dimensional Fermi Liquid, Part 3: The Fermi Surface**, preprint.

[FKTr1] J. Feldman, H. Knörrer, E. Trubowitz, **Convergence of Perturbation Expansions in Fermionic Models, Part 1: Nonperturbative Bounds**, preprint.

[FMRT] J.Feldman, J. Magnen, V. Rivasseau, E. Trubowitz, **Two Dimensional Many Fermion Systems as Vector Models**, Europhysics Letters, **24** (1993) 521-526.

[PT] J. Pöschel, E. Trubowitz, *Inverse Spectral Theory*, Academic Press (1987).

Notation

Norms

Norm	Characteristics	Reference
$\vert\vert\vert\,\cdot\,\vert\vert\vert_{1,\infty}$	no derivatives, external positions, acts on functions	Example II.6
$\|\,\cdot\,\|_{1,\infty}$	derivatives, external positions, acts on functions	Example II.6
$\check{\|\,\cdot\,\|}_{\infty}$	derivatives, external momenta, acts on functions	Definition IV.6
$\vert\vert\vert\,\cdot\,\vert\vert\vert_{\infty}$	no derivatives, external positions, acts on functions	Example III.4
$\check{\|\,\cdot\,\|}_{1}$	derivatives, external momenta, acts on functions	Definition IV.6
$\check{\|\,\cdot\,\|}_{\infty,B}$	derivatives, external momenta, $B \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$	Definition IV.6
$\check{\|\,\cdot\,\|}_{1,B}$	derivatives, external momenta, $B \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$	Definition IV.6
$\|\,\cdot\,\|$	$\rho_{m;n}\|\,\cdot\,\|_{1,\infty}$	Lemma V.1
$N(\mathcal{W};\mathfrak{c},\mathrm{b},\alpha)$	$\frac{1}{\mathrm{b}^2}\,\mathfrak{c}\sum_{m,n\geq 0}\alpha^n\,\mathrm{b}^n\,\|\mathcal{W}_{m,n}\|$	Definition III.9
		Theorem V.2

Other Notation

Not'n	Description	Reference
$\Omega_S(\mathcal{W})(\phi,\psi)$	$\log\frac{1}{Z}\int e^{\mathcal{W}(\phi,\psi+\zeta)}\,d\mu_S(\zeta)$	before (I.1)
$S(C)$	$\sup_m \sup_{\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_m\in\mathcal{B}}\Big(\Big\vert\int\psi(\xi_1)\cdots\psi(\xi_m)\,d\mu_C(\psi)\Big\vert\Big)^{1/m}$	Definition IV.1
$\mathcal{B}$	$\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\{\uparrow,\downarrow\}\times\{0,1\}$ viewed as position space	beginning of §II
$\mathcal{F}_m(n)$	functions on $\mathcal{B}^m\times\mathcal{B}^n$, antisymmetric in $\mathcal{B}^m$ arguments	Definition II.9